

NATIONAL  
*environmental*

# SCORECARD



## LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS \*

---

<b>John H. Adams</b> Founding Director, Natural Resources Defense Council	<b>Wade Greene (Honorary)</b> Philanthropy Advisor, Rockefeller Family & Associates	<b>Jonathan Poisner</b> Executive Director, Oregon League of Conservation Voters
<b>Marcia Aronoff</b> Vice President for Programs, Environmental Defense Fund	<b>Lisa Guthrie</b> Executive Director, Virginia League of Conservation Voters	<b>Lana Pollack</b> President, Michigan Environmental Council
<b>Paul Austin</b> Executive Director, Conservation Minnesota & Conservation Minnesota Voter Center	<b>Jay Harris</b> Changing Horizons Fund	<b>Bill Roberts, Chair</b> Executive Director, Beldon Fund
<b>Brent Blackwelder (Honorary)</b> President, Friends of the Earth	<b>Rampa R. Hormel</b> President, Global Environment Project Institute	<b>Larry Rockefeller</b> President, American Conservation Association
<b>The Honorable Sherwood Boehlert</b> Accord Group	<b>John Hunting (Honorary)</b> Chair, Beldon Fund John Hunting and Associates	<b>Theodore Roosevelt IV (Honorary Chair)</b> Managing Director, Lehman Brothers
<b>The Honorable Carol Browner</b> Principal, The Albright Group, LLC	<b>Tom Kiernan, Treasurer</b> President, National Parks Conservation Association	<b>Donald K. Ross</b> Rockefeller Family & Associates
<b>Marcia Bystryn</b> Executive Director, New York League of Conservation Voters	<b>William H. Meadows III</b> President, The Wilderness Society	<b>Rodger O. Schlickeisen, Vice-Chair</b> President, Defenders of Wildlife
<b>Brownie Carson</b> Executive Director, Natural Resources Council of Maine	<b>Jorge Mursuli</b> President and CEO, Democracia U.S.A.	<b>Peggy Shepard</b> Executive Director, West Harlem Environmental Action (WE ACT)
<b>Carrie Clark</b> Executive Director, Conservation Council of North Carolina	<b>Scott A. Nathan</b> Managing Director, The Baupost Group, LLP	<b>Susan Smartt, Secretary</b> President and CEO, Yosemite National Institutes
<b>George Frampton, Jr.</b> Partner, Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP	<b>John D. Podesta</b> President and CEO, Center for American Progress	<b>Lynde B. Uhlein</b> President, Brico Fund LLC

## LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE \*

---

<b>Marcia Aronoff</b> Environmental Defense Fund	<b>Lana Pollack</b> Michigan Environmental Council
<b>Brent Blackwelder</b> Friends of the Earth	<b>Wesley Warren</b> Natural Resources Defense Council
<b>Marcia Bystryn</b> New York League of Conservation Voters	

## LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE \*

---

<b>Anna Aurilio</b> Environment America	<b>Adam Kolton</b> National Wildlife Federation	<b>Melinda Pierce</b> Sierra Club
<b>Kevin Curtis</b> Pew Environment Group	<b>Linda Lance</b> The Wilderness Society	<b>Cindy Shogan</b> Alaska Wilderness League
<b>Mike Daulton</b> National Audubon Society	<b>Craig Lasher</b> Population Action International	<b>Elizabeth Thompson</b> Environmental Defense Fund
<b>Robert Dewey</b> Defenders of Wildlife	<b>Craig Obey</b> National Parks Conservation Association	<b>Karen Wayland</b> Natural Resources Defense Council
<b>Blake Early</b> American Lung Association	<b>Jason Patlis</b> World Wildlife Fund	<b>Marchant Wentworth</b> Union of Concerned Scientists
<b>Marty Hayden</b> Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund	<b>Nancy Perry</b> The Humane Society of the United States	
<b>David Jenkins</b> Republicans for Environmental Protection	<b>Erich Pica</b> Friends of the Earth	

# CONTENTS

## 1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 2nd Session of the 110th Congress	2
Voting Summary	4

## 2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	8
Senate Votes	13

## 3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	19
House Votes	24

## 4. INDEX

Members of the 2nd Session of the 110th Congress	41
--	----

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV works to turn environmental values into national priorities.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all Members of the second session of the 110th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which Members of Congress should be graded. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, global warming, environmental health and safety protections, public lands and wildlife conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented Members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

Edited by Tim Greeff, Gene Karpinski, Tony Massaro, Joshua McNeil, Hasan Nazar, David Sandretti, Tiernan Sittenfeld, and Mark Trainer. Design by Sarah McPhie, Cutting Edge Design. Published October 2008 by the League of Conservation Voters. All rights reserved. For additional copies or information about joining LCV, please contact us at: 1920 L Street NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036. Phone: (202) 785-8683; Fax: (202) 835-0491; Email: [feedback@lcv.org](mailto:feedback@lcv.org). Full *Scorecard* information is also available online at [www.lcv.org/scorecard](http://www.lcv.org/scorecard).

## 2008 OVERVIEW

The 2008 *National Environmental Scorecard* reflects more clearly than perhaps ever before that America is truly at a crossroads when it comes to our energy future. In the face of gas prices that shot above four dollars a gallon, unrest around the world, and increasing global warming pollution, it could not be more obvious that we must reduce our dependence on oil. Yet given many opportunities to vote for energy efficiency and clean renewable energy that will turn our economy around and improve our environment, a minority in Congress clung to the failed energy policies of the past.

The 110th Congress began in 2007 with great promise of bringing about a new energy economy. Under Speaker Pelosi's (D-CA) leadership, the House of Representatives passed a bill during the first 100 hours to repeal more than \$13 billion in subsidies to oil companies and to create a fund for clean, renewable energy. The first session concluded with the passage and enactment into law of H.R. 6, the Energy Independence and Security Act, which raised the overall fuel economy of cars and light trucks to 35 miles per gallon — the first such increase since 1975 — and included important efficiency standards for light-bulbs, buildings and homes.

The success of the first session of the 110th Congress should have led to even more progress in 2008. Unfortunately, a vocal minority of members closely allied with Big Oil instead turned the year into a series of missed opportunities and major steps backward. Although the new Congressional leadership worked with longtime environmental champions and many new members to build on the progress of 2007, too many in Congress sided with the oil companies instead of standing up for the interests of their constituents. Time and again, they not only blocked progress but actually exacerbated our energy problems.

Congress considered other environmental issues in 2008, including environmental funding and education, and protection of public lands, but energy was clearly the dominant issue of the year. The majority of the 11 Senate and 13 House votes in the 2008 *Scorecard* are energy votes that presented Congress with a real choice: chart a bold new course that puts Americans back to work, saves families money at the gas pump and on home heating bills, improves our national security, and protects the planet for future generations; or continue the disastrous energy policies of the last eight years that have benefited only the oil industry. This *Scorecard* separates those members of Congress who are embracing a new energy future from those who are wedded to the past.

As is all too apparent, Newt Gingrich, President Bush, Senator John McCain (R-AZ), and the Republican leadership in Congress launched a very effective campaign to mislead the American people into believing that new offshore drilling would lead to lower gas prices. That campaign ignored the fact that the United States has less than three percent of the world's oil reserves but uses 25 percent, and that according to the Department of Energy, even negligible impacts on gas prices would not occur

until 2030. Regardless, their well-funded campaign and relentless chants of “drill here, drill now, pay less” and “drill, baby, drill” built considerable momentum for allowing the long-standing moratorium on drilling off America’s treasured coasts to expire.

House leadership drafted a comprehensive, compromise energy bill to allow offshore drilling while maintaining protections for 50 to 100 miles offshore and combining it with a Renewable Electricity Standard, building efficiency standards, and clean energy tax credits. In perhaps the defining vote on whether members stood for a new energy future or stood with Big Oil, the Republican leadership offered an unsuccessful substitute to strip all of the clean energy policies. The comprehensive bill then passed the House, but after the White House signaled that it would veto a continuing budget resolution that maintained any coastal protections, even the partial extension of the moratorium was dropped.

In addition to pushing offshore drilling above all else, allies of Big Oil repeatedly rejected attempts to repeal billions of dollars of subsidies for oil companies and to instead shift that money to clean, renewable energy — in spite of the fact that oil companies are enjoying record profits while consumers suffer from high energy prices.

And while the renewable energy industry is one of the few bright spots in an otherwise abysmal economy, most Senate Republicans and many conservative House Democrats spent almost all of 2008 disagreeing over whether and how to pay for vitally important clean energy tax credits set to expire at the end of this year. The delay in extending the tax credits jeopardized as many as 117,000 jobs and \$19 billion in domestic clean energy investment. Congress finally extended these tax credits in early October, but they also included incentives for dirty fuels like liquid coal and oil shale.

Another place where the debate over our energy future came to a head was the global warming bill advanced by Environment & Public Works Committee Chair Barbara Boxer (D-CA) and Senators Joe Lieberman (I-CT) and John Warner (R-VA). The Climate Security Act is a comprehensive bill to significantly reduce global warming pollution that LCV worked to strengthen and pass during the first half of 2008.

Unfortunately, opponents of reducing global warming pollution immediately embarked upon several days of obstruction and delay when the bill came to the Senate floor, even insisting that the clerk read the entire 492 page substitute aloud. Ultimately, 48 senators voted to move forward, and six senators who were absent issued statements indicating that they would have voted that way as well — bringing the total number of senators who supported taking action to address global warming to 54. While short of the 60 votes necessary to override a filibuster (a far too frequent outcome in 2008), it’s significant that a majority of senators went on the record in support of making progress in combating global warming. With just six more votes, the Senate could have continued consideration of this very important bill.

As we prepare for a new Congress and a new Administration, it’s all too obvious that America is desperate for change. The good news is that a new energy policy can bring about just the change we need. LCV is committed to working with the 111th Congress and the new Administration to take bold action. It’s time to dramatically increase our production of clean, renewable energy, cut our dependence on oil, and invest billions of dollars in a new energy economy.



# VOTING SUMMARY

## 2008 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE	STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	18	31	Montana	100	8
Alaska	9	23	Nebraska	50	23
Arizona	9	47	Nevada	59	56
Arkansas	91	63	New Hampshire	23	100
California	100	61	New Jersey	91	75
Colorado	59	51	New Mexico	59	44
Connecticut	100	86	New York	64	81
Delaware	82	69	North Carolina	36	51
Florida	64	46	North Dakota	91	85
Georgia	9	41	Ohio	55	46
Hawaii	95	85	Oklahoma	9	18
Idaho	14	15	Oregon	95	78
Illinois	59	64	Pennsylvania	64	66
Indiana	50	47	Rhode Island	100	85
Iowa	59	58	South Carolina	9	38
Kansas	18	50	South Dakota	55	77
Kentucky	14	42	Tennessee	23	53
Louisiana	27	31	Texas	18	33
Maine	95	96	Utah	18	26
Maryland	95	91	Vermont	100	92
Massachusetts	68	98	Virginia	59	35
Michigan	100	51	Washington	95	71
Minnesota	86	66	West Virginia	82	72
Mississippi	9	61	Wisconsin	100	68
Missouri	50	43	Wyoming	18	0

# 2008 MAPS OF STATE AVERAGES

1. ANALYSIS



## 2008 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

### Highest Senate Delegations:

California 100% · Connecticut 100% · Michigan 100% · Montana 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Vermont 100% · Wisconsin 100%

### Senate Scores of 100:

**California** Boxer · Feinstein · **Colorado** Salazar · **Connecticut** Dodd · Lieberman · **Delaware** Carper · **Hawaii** Akaka · **Illinois** Durbin · **Maine** Collins · **Maryland** Cardin · **Massachusetts** Kerry · **Michigan** Levin · Stabenow · **Minnesota** Klobuchar · **Montana** Baucus · Tester · **Nevada** Reid · **New Mexico** Bingaman · **Oregon** Wyden · **Pennsylvania** Casey · **Rhode Island** Reed · Whitehouse · **Vermont** Leahy · Sanders · **Washington** Cantwell · **Wisconsin** Feingold · Kohl

## 2008 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

### Highest House Delegations:

New Hampshire 100% · Massachusetts 98% · Maine 96% · Vermont 92% · Maryland 91%

### House Scores of 100:

**California** Berman · Capps · Davis, S. · Eshoo · Harman · Honda · Lee · Lofgren · Sanchez, Linda · Schiff · Stark · Tauscher · Waters · Waxman · **Connecticut** Courtney · Murphy, C. · **Georgia** Lewis, John · **Illinois** Emanuel · Lipinski · Schakowsky · **Kentucky** Chandler · Yarmuth · **Massachusetts** Capuano · Frank, B. · Lynch · Markey · McGovern · Neal · Olver · Tierney · Tsongas · **Maryland** Edwards, D. · Sarbanes · Van Hollen · **Maine** Allen · **Michigan** Dingell · **Minnesota** Ellison · McCollum · **New Hampshire** Hodes · Shea-Porter · **New Jersey** Holt · Pallone · Payne · **New York** Ackerman · Bishop, T. · Hall, J. · Hinchey · Israel · Lowey · McCarthy, C. · McNulty · Meeks, G. · Nadler · Serrano · **Oregon** Blumenauer · DeFazio · **Pennsylvania** Schwartz · **Tennessee** Cohen · Cooper · **Virginia** Moran, James · Scott, R. · **Washington** Baird · Inslee · **Wisconsin** Baldwin · Kind · Moore, G. · Obey

### Lowest Senate Delegations:

Alaska 9% · Arizona 9% · Georgia 9% · Mississippi 9% · Oklahoma 9% · South Carolina 9%

### Senate Scores Less than 10:

**Alaska** Murkowski · Stevens · **Arizona** McCain · **Georgia** Isakson · **Idaho** Craig · **Kentucky** McConnell · **Louisiana** Vitter · **Mississippi** Cochran · Wicker · **Nebraska** Hagel · **New Hampshire** Gregg · **Oklahoma** Coburn · Inhofe · **South Carolina** DeMint · Graham

### Lowest House Delegations:

Oklahoma 18% · Idaho 15% · Montana 8% · Wyoming 0%

### House Scores of 0:

**Alabama** Aderholt · Bonner · Everett · **Arizona** Franks, T. · Shadegg · **California** Campbell · Dreier · Gallegly · Herger · Hunter · Lungren · McCarthy, K. · Miller, Gary · Nunes · Rohrabacher · Royce · **Colorado** Lamborn · Tancredo · **Florida** Feeney · Miller, J. · Stearns · **Georgia** Broun · Gingrey · Kingston · **Iowa** King, S. · **Indiana** Burton · Pence · **Kentucky** Lewis, R. · **Louisiana** Alexander, R. · McCrery · Scalise · **Michigan** Camp · Hoekstra · Walberg · **Missouri** Akin · Blunt · **North Carolina** Foxx · McHenry · Myrick · **Ohio** Boehner · Jordan · Latta · **Oklahoma** Lucas · **Pennsylvania** Peterson, J. · **South Carolina** Wilson, J. · **Tennessee** Blackburn · Davis, David · **Texas** Barton · Brady, K. · Burgess · Carter · Conaway · Culberson · Granger · Hall, R. · Marchant · Neugebauer · Paul · Poe · Sessions, P. · Smith, L. · Thornberry · **Utah** Bishop, R. · Cannon · **Virginia** Forbes · Goode · Goodlatte · **Washington** Hastings, D. · McMorris Rodgers · **Wyoming** Cubin

## RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

### SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Harkin (IA)	91	Chambliss (GA)	9
Appropriations	Byrd (WV)	73	Cochran (MS)	9
Commerce, Science, and Transportation	Inouye (HI)	91	Stevens (AK)*	9
			Hutchison (TX)*	18
Energy and Natural Resources	Bingaman (NM)	100	Domenici (NM)	18
Environment and Public Works	Boxer (CA)	100	Inhofe (OK)	9
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	91	RANKING MEMBERS	12

\* Senator Hutchison replaced Senator Stevens on July 30, 2008.

### HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Peterson (MN-07)	85	Goodlatte (VA-06)	0
Appropriations	Obey (WI-07)	100	Lewis, Jerry (CA-41)	8
Energy and Commerce	Dingell (MI-15)	100	Barton (TX-06)	0
Natural Resources	Rahall (WV-03)	85	Young, D. (AK-AL)	23
Science and Technology	Gordon (TN-06)	85	Hall (TX-04)	0
Transportation and Infrastructure	Oberstar (MN-08)	92	Mica (FL-07)	8
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	91	RANKING MEMBERS	7

## PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

### SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Reid (NV), Majority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Minority Leader	9
Durbin (IL), Majority Whip	100	Kyl (AZ), Minority Whip	18
Schumer (NY), Conference Vice Chair	91	Alexander (TN), Conference Chair	18
Leadership Average	97	Leadership Average	15

### HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Pelosi* (CA), Speaker of the House	NA		
Hoyer (MD), Majority Leader	92	Boehner (OH), Minority Leader	0
Clyburn (SC), Whip	92	Blunt (MO), Minority Whip	0
Emanuel (IL), Caucus Chair	100	Putnam (FL), Conference Chair	8
Leadership Average	95	Leadership Average	3

\* The Speaker votes at her discretion.

# 2008 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## 1. GLOBAL WARMING



Today, America faces the unprecedented challenges of confronting global warming as well as solving the current energy crisis. Both problems require a rapid transformation of our energy future to greater energy efficiency and clean, renewable energy. Scientists warn that we only have a brief window to act in order to stave off the worst impacts of global warming, such as increases in hurricane intensity, forest fire frequency and intense rain storms. Global warming is endangering water supplies, public health, agriculture, infrastructure, our natural environment, and threatens to reduce the world's economic output by up to 20 percent if left unchecked.

In June, the Senate took up consideration of S. 2191, the Climate Security Act, comprehensive legislation to cut global warming pollution and drive rapid investment in the clean energy economy. The Climate Security Act would have reduced global warming pollution 17-19% below 2005 levels by 2020 and 57-63% below 2005 levels by 2050. Through a flexible market mechanism, the bill allowed major polluters to choose the most cost-efficient way to reduce pollution and buy pollution allowances to cover each ton of pollution that they continue to emit. The bill would have diversified America's energy supply, ensured America leads the clean energy revolution, reduced our dependence on foreign oil and recharged America's economy.

Opponents of the Climate Security Act mounted a filibuster against it. On June 6, the Senate voted to continue the process towards the bill's final passage. Six senators who couldn't be at the vote entered statements into the record that they would have voted "yes" had they been present, bringing the total to 54 senators who spoke up for the need to advance serious legislation on global warming. Supporters included 10 senators who had not supported global warming legislation in prior votes (2003 and 2005).

The cloture vote failed 48-36 (Senate roll call vote 145). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 2 & 3. CLEAN ENERGY TAX CREDITS



A broad coalition of businesses, construction companies, environmental organizations, investors, labor groups, trade associations and utilities agree that the single most effective measure to increase the use of clean renewable energy and energy efficiency is to extend and expand the present set of clean energy tax credits that are due to expire at the end of 2008. Energy experts maintain that extending the credits could save as many as 117,000 existing jobs and generate an additional \$19 billion in domestic clean energy investment.

On June 17, the Senate voted to move forward on H.R. 6049, the Renewable Energy and Job Creation Act of 2008, which had passed the House on May 21 by a margin of 263-160. This bill would have extended dozens of expired or soon-to-expire tax provisions for one year, including tax credits for research, investment in solar and fuel cells, and the production tax credit for wind and other renewable energy sources. The tax credits would be offset by closing various corporate loopholes.

The majority of Senate Republicans maintained that there was no need to offset — pay for — extending existing tax credits, calling that move tantamount to a tax increase. In contrast,

many conservative House Democrats insisted that all tax credits be fully paid for — a position the House leadership subsequently adopted.

On June 17, the Senate fell short of the votes necessary to proceed to an up or down vote on the bill by a vote of 52-44 (Senate roll call vote 150), eight short of the required three-fifths needed to end debate. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

In another in a series of Senate attempts to break the logjam over the clean energy tax package, Senator Max Baucus (D-MT), Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, crafted yet another version of the tax credits. While the package largely followed along earlier versions by extending dozens of expired or soon-to-be expiring tax provisions for one year, it also contained additional provisions for new renewable clean energy technologies such as marine and hydrokinetic and an \$8 billion boost to the Highway Trust Fund. To offset the cost, the bill would change certain tax rules for stock brokers and deferred compensation, delay the foreign tax credit, and increase the estimated tax payment from certain corporations.

On July 30, this effort also failed to reach the 60 vote threshold by a vote of 51-43 (Senate roll call vote 192). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Congress finally extended the tax credits in early October.

#### **4. ENERGY PRICES: ENVIRONMENTAL & CONSUMER PROTECTION**

On June 10, during the heated debate over rising energy prices, Senator Harry Reid (D-NV) tried to bring his bill, S. 3044, the Consumer First Energy Act, to the Senate floor for debate. He proposed a package that attempted to reverse the harm imposed by eight years of Bush Administration pro-oil policies by attacking the root causes of high energy prices, providing price relief to American consumers, and supporting investment in clean energy technologies.

S. 3044 sought to roll back tax breaks for oil and gas companies and invest those taxpayer dollars in clean, renewable energy and consumer price protection. S. 3044 would implement a 25 percent windfall profits tax on the largest oil companies, protect consumers from price-gouging, and curb excessive market price speculation. The Consumer First Energy Act also included provisions to suspend government purchases of oil to fill the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR), and would have empowered the U.S. Attorney General to bring an enforcement action against any country or company that is colluding to set the price of oil.


Senator Reid offered a motion to move forward on the bill that required three-fifths of the total Senate (60 votes). The motion was rejected 51-43 (Senate roll call vote 146). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

#### **5. LOW-INCOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE**

As energy prices continue to skyrocket, residential customers will likely bear a record burden this winter. Increasing costs will particularly impact low-income families as they struggle to balance their heating needs with those of food, shelter and other basic necessities.

Senator Bernie Sanders (I-VT) introduced S. 3186, which provides \$2.5 billion in emergency spending for the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), which gives low-income families an essential lifeline during the cold winter months. In addition to alleviating energy costs, this funding would help low-income families insulate and weatherize their homes, thus saving energy and decreasing their vulnerability to a continued upward trend in energy costs.

On July 26, the Senate rejected the motion by a vote of 50-35 (Senate roll call vote 187), as it failed to reach the 60 vote threshold needed to end debate and move to an up or down vote. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



## 6. OFFSHORE DRILLING

---



In 1981, Congress acted to protect America's shores, beaches, and marine ecosystems by adopting a moratorium on oil and gas development in coastal waters. Congress has continued this protection every year since then.

During Senate consideration of the Fiscal 2009 budget resolution, Senator David Vitter (R-LA) offered a motion to instruct conferees to insist that conference report language be adjusted to allow governors, with the concurrence of their state legislatures, to petition for allowing increased drilling along their beaches and shorelines.

On May 25, the Senate rejected the Vitter motion to instruct by a 44-51 vote (Senate roll call vote 134). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The moratorium on offshore drilling was later allowed to expire in the continuing budget resolution.

## 7. ARCTIC REFUGE & OFFSHORE DRILLING

---




During consideration of S. 2284, the National Flood Insurance Reform and Modernization Act, Senator Mitch McConnell (R-KY) offered an amendment that would have opened the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil drilling, allowed oil and gas development in offshore coastal waters that have been protected by a federal moratorium since 1981, encouraged the use of high greenhouse gas intensive liquid coal technology, and promoted environmentally destructive oil shale mining on public lands.

By unanimous consent, the Senate agreed that 60 votes would be required to pass the McConnell amendment. On May 13, the amendment failed by a vote of 42-56 (Senate roll call vote 123). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 8. OIL SHALE

---



In recent years, oil companies have pressured government agencies to open up 1.9 million acres in Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming to develop oil shale. Development of oil shale requires huge amounts of energy and water resources, a process that produces global warming emissions that far surpass those of conventional fossil fuels. Additionally, the viability of oil shale as an energy source and its further environmental impacts are uncertain.

In 2007, Senator Ken Salazar (D-CO) and Representatives John Salazar (D-CO) and Mark Udall (D-CO) worked to institute a 12-month moratorium on leasing lands for oil-shale development, a provision that passed both chambers of Congress and was eventually signed into law. Unfortunately, facing strong opposition to clean energy solutions, this year Congress passed a continuing budget resolution that let the oil shale moratorium expire.

To address the growing economic crisis, Senators Harry Reid (D-NV) and Robert Byrd (D-WV) introduced S. 3604, an economic stimulus package. The bill included a provision to extend the moratorium on oil shale development for a second period of 12 months. In addition, the bill provided funding for energy and environment programs, including investments in public transportation, clean energy and energy efficiency, plug-in hybrid vehicles, and home weatherization. On September 26, the Senate rejected the motion to proceed by a 52-42 vote (Senate roll call vote 206). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 9. WIND INSURANCE

With global warming contributing to the severity of hurricanes, sea level rise, and flooding, it's becoming increasingly imperative to discourage new development and rebuilding in environmentally sensitive shorelines, wetlands, lowlands, and barrier islands. Unfortunately, the availability of federally subsidized flood insurance through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) often encourages risky development in flood-prone areas, putting people and communities in harm's way. Following the catastrophic and unprecedented 2004 and 2005 hurricane seasons, the U.S. Treasury debt of the NFIP grew to more than \$17 billion, with annual interest exceeding three quarters of a billion dollars. With the program set to expire at the end of FY 2008, Congress began working to reform and extend the program another five years.



During Senate consideration of H.R. 3121, the Senate's version of the Flood Insurance Reform and Modernization Act, Senator Roger Wicker (R-MS) offered an amendment to greatly expand the scope of federal insurance offered to include coverage for wind damage from hurricanes, tornadoes and other windstorms. This means new federal subsidies and an expansion of taxpayer risk that would likely further spur risky and environmentally damaging development.

On May 7, the amendment was defeated by a 19-74 vote (Senate roll call vote 117). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

The House of Representatives passed its version of the bill on September 27, 2007, which included provisions similar to the Wicker amendment. In the final days of the Congress, a House-Senate Conference was pending on the legislation.

## 10. PUBLIC LANDS PROTECTION

The Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008 (S. 2739) brought together 62 individual bills concerning lands and activities in over 30 states and the District of Columbia. The omnibus bill included a measure to designate the 106,000 acre Wild Sky Wilderness in Washington State to the National Wilderness Preservation System, added the Eightmile River in Connecticut to the Wild and Scenic River System, and authorized new protections for historic sites, national parks, and precious water resources.



On April 10, the Senate passed this public land conservation legislation, by a vote of 91-4 (Senate roll call vote 101). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The bill subsequently passed the House and was signed into law by the President.

## 11. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

---



The Congressional budget process begins once the President's annual budget is submitted in February. At that time, Congress begins to develop its own budget plan that reflects its spending priorities. The federal budget resolution sets funding levels for the next fiscal year and sets forth budget totals for the next five years.

Because the concurrent budget resolution determines the spending authority of House appropriation committees that then subdivide the amount among its subcommittees, the federal budget is a powerful tool for establishing national policy priorities. Programs that protect our air, water, climate, wildlife, parks, forest, refuges, and other public lands fall under the Interior-Environment Appropriation Committee.

S. Con Res 70 marks the second year of reversing cuts to many important environmental and conservation programs that occurred for nearly a decade. The budget agreement provides \$38.6 billion in FY 2009 discretionary spending for environment and natural resources programs. This funding level is \$1.9 billion above the FY 2008 enacted level, and \$3.9 billion over the President's FY 2009 request. The resolution also provides \$7.7 billion for energy programs in FY 2009, which is \$2.8 billion above the President's proposal. This is a major improvement over the years of depleting funding for important public lands and natural resource management.

**YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** As a concurrent resolution the bill does not need the President's signature. On June 4, the Senate passed S. Con. Res. 70, by a vote of 48-45 (Senate roll call vote 142), setting the congressional budget for fiscal year 2009.

# SENATE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)



## ALABAMA

Sessions, J.	(R)	18	13	15	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Shelby	(R)	18	7	12	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

## ALASKA

Murkowski	(R)	9	40	27	15	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Stevens	(R)	9	27	19	13	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

## ARIZONA

Kyl	(R)	18	13	15	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
McCain	(R)	0	0	0	24	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

## ARKANSAS

Lincoln	(D)	91	67	77	49	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Pryor	(D)	91	60	73	59	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## CALIFORNIA

Boxer	(D)	100	80	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Feinstein	(D)	100	87	92	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## COLORADO

Allard	(R)	18	20	19	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Salazar, K.	(D)	100	73	85	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## CONNECTICUT

Dodd	(D)	100	60	77	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lieberman	(I)	100	93	96	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## DELAWARE

Biden	(D)	64	67	65	83	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?
Carper	(D)	100	93	96	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## FLORIDA

Martinez	(R)	36	13	23	15	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
Nelson, Bill	(D)	91	100	96	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## SENATE VOTES

### KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES														
		% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Global Warming	② Clean Energy Tax Credits I	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Energy Prices	⑤ Low-Income Energy Assistance	⑥ Offshore Drilling	⑦ Arctic Refuge & Offshore Drilling	⑧ Oil Shale	⑨ Wind Insurance	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding

### GEORGIA

Chambliss	(R)	9	7	8	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Isakson	(R)	9	7	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

### HAWAII

Akaka	(D)	100	87	92	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Inouye	(D)	91	80	85	54	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### IDAHO

Craig	(R)	9	13	12	8	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Crapo	(R)	18	13	15	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

### ILLINOIS

Durbin	(D)	100	93	96	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Obama	(D)	18	67	46	72	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	?	?	?

### INDIANA

Bayh	(D)	82	73	77	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
Lugar	(R)	18	53	39	26	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

### IOWA

Grassley	(R)	27	33	31	22	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Harkin	(D)	91	87	89	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### KANSAS

Brownback	(R)	18	7	12	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Roberts	(R)	18	0	8	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

### KENTUCKY

Bunning	(R)	18	7	12	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
McConnell	(R)	9	7	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

### LOUISIANA

Landrieu	(D)	55	53	54	44	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
Vitter	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

# SENATE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)



## MAINE

Collins	(R)	100	100	100	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Snowe	(R)	91	80	85	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

## MARYLAND

Cardin	(D)	100	93	96	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mikulski	(D)	91	93	92	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓

## MASSACHUSETTS

Kennedy, E.*	(D)	36	93	69	82	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?
Kerry	(D)	100	93	96	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MICHIGAN

Levin, C.	(D)	100	67	81	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Stabenow	(D)	100	67	81	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MINNESOTA

Coleman	(R)	73	33	50	33	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
Klobuchar	(D)	100	87	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MISSISSIPPI

Cochran	(R)	9	0	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Wicker	(R)	9	5	6	3	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

## MISSOURI

Bond	(R)	18	0	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
McCaskill	(D)	82	73	77	77	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MONTANA

Baucus, M.	(D)	100	67	81	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Tester	(D)	100	80	89	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NEBRASKA

Hagel	(R)	9	20	15	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗
Nelson, Ben	(D)	91	67	77	43	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

\* Senator Kennedy was out for much of the session due to illness.

## SENATE VOTES

### KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES														
		% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Global Warming	② Clean Energy Tax Credits I	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Energy Prices	⑤ Low-Income Energy Assistance	⑥ Offshore Drilling	⑦ Arctic Refuge & Offshore Drilling	⑧ Oil Shale	⑨ Wind Insurance	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding

### NEVADA

Ensign	(R)	18	33	27	29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Reid, H.	(D)	100	87	92	77	✓	✓ *	✓ *	✓ *	✓ *	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### NEW HAMPSHIRE

Gregg	(R)	9	60	39	44	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗
Sununu	(R)	36	53	46	35	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

### NEW JERSEY

Lautenberg	(D)	91	93	92	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	(D)	91	93	92	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### NEW MEXICO

Bingaman	(D)	100	93	96	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Domenici	(R)	18	20	19	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	?

### NEW YORK

Clinton	(D)	36	73	58	82	?	?	✓	?	✓	?	✓	✓	?	?
Schumer	(D)	91	93	92	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

### NORTH CAROLINA

Burr	(R)	18	7	12	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Dole	(R)	55	7	27	12	✓	✗	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?

### NORTH DAKOTA

Conrad	(D)	91	73	81	56	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dorgan	(D)	91	87	89	63	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### OHIO

Brown	(D)	91	87	89	92	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Voinovich	(R)	18	20	19	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

### OKLAHOMA

Coburn	(R)	9	7	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Inhofe	(R)	9	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✓	✗

\* Senator Reid initially voted pro-environment but switched his vote for procedural reasons.

# SENATE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)



2. SENATE SCORES

## OREGON

Smith, G.	(R)	91	73	81	37	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
Wyden	(D)	100	87	92	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## PENNSYLVANIA

Casey	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Specter	(R)	27	60	46	44	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

## RHODE ISLAND

Reed, J	(D)	100	93	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Whitehouse	(D)	100	93	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## SOUTH CAROLINA

DeMint	(R)	9	7	8	7	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Graham	(R)	9	7	8	10	?	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

## SOUTH DAKOTA

Johnson, Tim	(D)	91	33	58	68	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Thune	(R)	18	33	27	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

## TENNESSEE

Alexander, L.	(R)	18	33	27	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Corker	(R)	27	27	27	27	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

## TEXAS

Cornyn	(R)	18	0	8	4	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Hutchison	(R)	18	7	12	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

## UTAH

Bennett	(R)	18	7	12	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Hatch	(R)	18	13	15	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

## VERMONT

Leahy	(D)	100	80	89	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sanders	(I)	100	93	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## SENATE VOTES

### KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)



### VIRGINIA

Warner	(R)	27	47	39	22	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	?
Webb	(D)	91	87	89	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### WASHINGTON

Cantwell	(D)	100	87	92	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murray	(D)	91	87	89	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### WEST VIRGINIA

Byrd	(D)	73	73	73	53	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
Rockefeller	(D)	91	73	81	81	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### WISCONSIN

Feingold	(D)	100	93	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kohl	(D)	100	93	96	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### WYOMING

Barrasso	(R)	18	33	24	24	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Enzi	(R)	18	13	15	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

# 2008 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## 1. CLEAN ENERGY TAX CREDITS I

The single most effective measure to increase the use of clean renewable energy and energy efficiency is to extend and expand the present set of clean energy tax credits that are due to expire at the end of 2008. Caught in a legislative ping-pong between the House and the Senate, some version of the tax extension package was considered by the House and Senate at least 12 times during the 110th Congress.

In one of the earlier efforts to extend the renewable-energy and energy-efficiency tax credits, the House passed H.R. 5351, the Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation Tax Act of 2008, which would have extended the tax credit for wind and other renewables by three years and reinstated expired credits for commercial and residential buildings. In addition, new tax credits were made available for plug-in hybrids and other transportation alternatives. To offset the costs, the bill changed the way oil and gas companies calculate foreign oil and gas income and bumped up the estimated tax rate for certain large corporations.

The measure passed with a vote of 236-182 (House roll call vote 84) on February 27. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



## 2. UNDERMINING CLEAN ENERGY TAX CREDITS / 3. CLEAN ENERGY TAX CREDITS II

H.R. 6049, the Renewable Energy and Job Creation Act of 2008, as reported by the Ways and Means Committee, extended the tax credits for wind and other renewable energies by one year, while also renewing several important research and development tax credits, and renewing the commercial and residential energy efficiency tax credits. This package was supported by over two hundred business, environmental, and utility groups. The tax credits would be paid for by delaying new interest allocation rules for multinational companies and changing the rules for taxing deferred compensation. Opponents maintained that loopholes closed by the bill amounted to tax increases. Representative McCrery (R-LA) sought to send the bill back to Committee with instructions that it be reported back without the offsets. Because conservative House Democrats would have resisted such a bill, this move was tantamount to killing the bill.

The motion to recommit was rejected 201-220 (House roll call vote 343) on May 21. **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** After the effort to weaken the bill failed, the bill passed 263-160 (House roll call vote 344). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Congress finally extended the tax credits in early October.



## 4. ARCTIC REFUGE, OFFSHORE, WESTERN DRILLING

---



During House consideration of the Fiscal 2009 budget resolution, Representative Paul Ryan (R-WI) offered a motion to instruct conferees designed to authorize drilling in protected, environmentally sensitive areas all across the country. The motion adjusted budget levels to assume \$2.02 billion in increased revenues over fiscal years 2009 through 2013 from expanding federal leases for oil exploration and development on public lands throughout the West, offshore on the Outer Continental Shelf, and in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. This was a backdoor attempt to allow drilling on all of these pristine lands and shores.

On May 14, the House rejected the Ryan motion to instruct by a 185-229 vote (House roll call vote 321). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 5. GUTTING RENEWABLE ENERGY

---



As energy costs spiraled upward and American consumers suffered at the pump, anti-environment forces in Congress monopolized legislative proceedings to push a pro-drilling agenda. In September, House Democrats introduced a proposal that combined conservation measures, renewable energy investment, and increased drilling into a single comprehensive energy package.

The Comprehensive American Energy Security & Consumer Protection Act of 2008 included a renewable electricity standard mandating that 15% of American electricity come from clean energy sources by 2020. H.R. 6899 would extend tax credits to the renewable energy industry, institute energy efficiency standards for buildings, and repeal billions of dollars in tax subsidies to oil companies.

During consideration of H.R. 6899, Representative John Peterson (R-PA) offered a motion to strike the renewable electricity standard and open up additional federal lands and waters to drilling. The motion would also have provided federal subsidies for the development of polluting fossil fuels such as oil shale.

On September 16, the House defeated the motion by a 191-226 vote (House roll call vote 598). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 6. GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS

---



Buildings consume about 40 percent of the total energy used in the United States and are responsible for about 40 percent of all U.S. carbon dioxide pollution. The initial building design and construction provides the best and most cost-effective opportunity to deploy energy-efficient features that will last for the lifetime of the building. The HOPE VI Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2007, H.R. 2534, required federally funded housing developments and community revitalizations for the low income and elderly to meet residential and commercial buildings criteria for efficiency.

Representative Shelley Moore Capito (R-WV) offered an amendment that would have weakened these provisions by making them voluntary, deleted the definition of specific green criteria and goals, and allowed the Administration to choose any private industry-backed standard for voluntary compliance regardless of any positive public health or environmental benefit.

On January 17, the House defeated the amendment by a vote of 169-240 (House roll call vote 16). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 7. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION GRANTS

Public transportation provides an energy-efficient travel choice that helps reduce the number of cars on the road. Public transportation use can reduce air pollution linked to significant public health impacts such as respiratory disease and cancer, as well as reduce global warming pollution.

During a rapid increase in gasoline prices in the spring and summer, public transportation ridership hit record highs nationwide. At the same time, high diesel and electricity prices forced many transit agencies to consider cutting services or increasing fares.

As a result, the House of Representatives took up H.R. 6052, the Saving Energy Through Public Transportation Act of 2008. H.R. 6052 would help meet the growing demand for affordable, convenient public transportation in cities across America by providing grants to local transit agencies to expand and improve service. This legislation would also prevent service cuts or fare increases and offer fringe transit benefits to federal employees nationwide.

On June 26, the House passed H.R. 6052 by a 322-98 vote (House roll call vote 467). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



## 8 & 9. GRAZING AND NATIONAL LANDSCAPE CONSERVATION SYSTEM

Former Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt created the National Landscape Conservation System in June 2000 to recognize the “crown jewels” of public lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management. The 26 million-acre system comprises over 800 individual units; including the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument in Utah, the Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monument in Montana, and the Headwaters Forest Reserve in northern California. H.R. 2016, the National Landscape Conservation System Act, provides permanent statutory recognition for a system that was created administratively eight years ago to “conserve, protect, and restore these nationally significant landscapes that have outstanding cultural, ecological, and scientific values for the benefit of current and future generations.”

During consideration of H.R. 2016, Representative Steve Pearce (R-NM) introduced an amendment that seeks to enshrine current grazing operations on units within the National Landscape Conservation System regardless of the damage being caused to the land.




On April 9, the House approved the amendment by a 214-207 vote (House roll call vote 172). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** On the same day, the House voted 278-140 to pass H.R. 2016 by a 278-140 vote (House roll call vote 174). **YES IN THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 10. PUBLIC LANDS PROTECTION

S. 2739, the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008, packaged together 62 individual bills concerning lands and activities in over 30 states and the District of Columbia. The omnibus bill included a measure to designate the 106,000 acre Wild Sky Wilderness in Washington State to the National Wilderness Preservation System, added the Eightmile River in Connecticut to the Wild and Scenic River System, and authorized new protections for historic sites, national parks and precious water resources.

On April 29, the House passed this public land conservation legislation by a vote of 291-117 (House roll call vote 226), thus completing congressional action and sending the bill to the President for signature. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



## 11. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

---



The Congressional budget process begins once the President's annual budget is submitted in February. At that time, Congress begins to develop its own budget plan that reflects its spending priorities. The federal budget resolution sets funding levels for the next fiscal year and sets forth budget totals for the next five years.

Because the concurrent budget resolution determines the spending authority of House appropriation committees that then subdivide the amount among its subcommittees, the federal budget is a powerful tool for establishing national policy priorities. Programs that protect our air, water, climate, wildlife, parks, forest, refuges and other public lands fall under the Interior Environment Appropriation Committee.

S. Con Res 70 marks the second year of reversing cuts to many important environmental and conservation programs that occurred for nearly a decade. The budget agreement provides \$38.6 billion in FY 2009 discretionary spending for environment and natural resources programs. This funding level is \$1.9 billion above the FY 2008 enacted level, and \$3.9 billion over the President's FY 2009 request. The resolution also provides \$7.7 billion for energy programs in FY 2009, which is \$2.8 billion above the President's proposal. This is a major improvement over the years of declining funding for important public lands and natural resource management.

On June 5, the House passed S. Con. Res. 70 by a vote of 214-210, (House roll call vote 382) setting the congressional budget for fiscal year 2009. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** As a concurrent resolution, the bill does not need the President's signature.

## 12. FARM BILL — SUBSIDY REFORM

---



Because farmers, ranchers and private forest landowners control almost three quarters of the U.S. landscape, they have a significant impact on our air and water quality and wildlife habitat. The Department of Agriculture's voluntary conservation programs provide critical assistance to landowners who are willing to share in the cost of protecting our environment, but these programs have been historically under funded relative to the need. More than half of those who want to enlist in voluntary conservation programs are turned away because of insufficient program funds.

The farm bill's reauthorization every five years gives Congress a chance to increase funding for these programs and to improve U.S. farm policies in ways that enhance our environment. During consideration of the 2008 farm bill conference report, Representative Ron Kind (D-WI) offered a motion to instruct House conferees to maintain the House-passed funding levels for three conservation programs: the Grassland Reserve Program, the Environmental Quality Incentives Program and the Wetlands Reserve Program. The House-passed farm bill provided \$4.4 billion more for these three programs over 10 years than did the version of the bill passed by the Senate. The motion also instructed conferees to adopt a Senate-passed provision that barred certain federal payments for crops planted on land with no previous cropping history in order to reduce incentives to convert native prairie to crop production.

On May 6, the motion failed by a 140-274 vote (House roll call vote 258). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

### **13. NO CHILD LEFT INSIDE**

Environmental education serves as the cornerstone for conservation by sparking young people's interest in and respect for the natural world. Hands-on environmental education has a measurable positive impact on student achievement in science, reading, math, and social studies, and stimulates interest in science and math as future career pathways. Today's children spend half as much time outside as they did just 20 years ago and, on average, spend six and a half hours every day plugged into electronic media. Hands-on environmental education is a solution to this growing trend of "nature deficit disorder."

The No Child Left Inside Act of 2008, H.R. 3036, sponsored by Representative John Sarbanes (D-MD), created a new federal environmental grant program for states to develop environmental literacy programs and support teacher training in environmental education. The bill also extended the authorization of the National Environmental Education Act.

On September 18, the House voted 293-109 in favor of H.R. 3036 (House roll call vote 614). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																								
		% 2008			% 2007			% 110th Congress			% Lifetime			① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside

## ALABAMA

1	Bonner	(R)	0	10	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Everett	(R)	0	10	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?
3	Rogers, Michael D.	(R)	31	15	21	13	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
4	Aderholt	(R)	0	10	6	3	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cramer	(D)	77	70	73	41	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
6	Bachus	(R)	15	5	9	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
7	Davis, A.	(D)	92	80	85	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## ALASKA

AL	Young, D.	(R)	23	10	15	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
----	-----------	-----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

## ARIZONA

1	Renzi	(R)	38	10	21	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
2	Franks, T.	(R)	0	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Shadegg	(R)	0	5	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Pastor	(D)	92	90	91	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Mitchell	(D)	77	100	91	91	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Flake	(R)	8	15	12	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?
7	Grijalva	(D)	85	90	88	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Giffords	(D)	77	95	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓

## ARKANSAS

1	Berry	(D)	77	70	73	42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Snyder	(D)	92	85	88	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Boozman	(R)	8	10	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ross	(D)	77	60	67	48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

## CALIFORNIA

1	Thompson, M.	(D)	92	90	91	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Herger	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lungren	(R)	0	5	3	3	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Doolittle	(R)	8	5	6	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
5	Matsui, D.	(D)	92	95	94	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Woolsey	(D)	92	95	94	96	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside					
7	Miller, George	(D)	92	95	94	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓					
8	Pelosi	(D)	N/A	N/A	N/A	92	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HER DISCRETION.																	
9	Lee	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
10	Tauscher	(D)	100	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
11	McNerney	(D)	77	90	85	85	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
12	Speier*	(D)	89	N/A	89	89	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓	?	✓				
13	Stark	(D)	100	100	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
14	Eshoo	(D)	100	90	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
15	Honda	(D)	100	90	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
16	Lofgren	(D)	100	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
17	Farr	(D)	92	90	91	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓				
18	Cardoza	(D)	92	65	76	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓				
19	Radanovich	(R)	8	0	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗				
20	Costa	(D)	77	75	76	65	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓				
21	Nunes	(R)	0	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?				
22	McCarthy, K.	(R)	0	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗				
23	Capps	(D)	100	95	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
24	Gallegly	(R)	0	15	9	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗				
25	McKeon	(R)	8	10	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓				
26	Dreier	(R)	0	15	9	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?				
27	Sherman	(D)	92	95	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
28	Berman	(D)	100	85	91	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
29	Schiff	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
30	Waxman	(D)	100	95	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
31	Becerra	(D)	92	85	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
32	Solis	(D)	85	95	91	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓				
33	Watson	(D)	92	90	91	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
34	Royal-Allard	(D)	92	85	88	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓				
35	Waters	(D)	100	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
36	Harman	(D)	100	90	94	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
37	Richardson	(D)	85	100	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?				

\* Representative Speier was sworn in April 10, 2008 following the death of Representative Lantos on February 11, 2008.

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES														
	Name	Party	% 2008			% 2007			% 110th Congress			% Lifetime		
			38	Napolitano	(D)	92	90	91	92	✓	✓	1	Clean Energy Tax Credits I	
39	Sanchez, Linda	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	3	Clean Energy Tax Credits II	
40	Royce	(R)	0	15	9	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	4	Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	
41	Lewis, Jerry	(R)	8	15	12	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	5	Gutting Renewable Energy	
42	Miller, Gary	(R)	0	5	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	6	Green Building Standards	
43	Baca	(D)	85	85	85	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	7	Public Transportation Grants	
44	Calvert	(R)	8	0	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	8	Public Lands Grazing	
45	Bono Mack	(R)	31	30	30	15	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	9	National Landscape Conservation System	
46	Rohrabacher	(R)	0	10	6	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	10	Public Lands Protection	
47	Sanchez, Loretta	(D)	92	90	91	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	11	Environmental Funding	
48	Campbell	(R)	0	20	12	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	12	Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	
49	Issa	(R)	8	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	13	No Child Left Inside	
50	Bilbray	(R)	38	25	30	53	✗	✗	✗	?	✗			
51	Filner	(D)	92	90	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
52	Hunter	(R)	0	5	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗			
53	Davis, S.	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
<b>COLORADO</b>														
1	DeGette	(D)	77	95	88	95	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	
2	Udall, M.	(D)	92	95	94	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3	Salazar, J.	(D)	85	70	76	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4	Musgrave	(R)	15	5	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	
5	Lamborn	(R)	0	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
6	Tancredo	(R)	0	10	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
7	Perlmutter	(D)	85	90	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>														
1	Larson, J.	(D)	85	90	88	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	
2	Courtney	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3	DeLauro	(D)	92	85	88	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4	Shays	(R)	54	100	82	90	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	?	?	
5	Murphy, C.	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

### DELAWARE

#### AL Castle (R)

#### FLORIDA

#### 1 Miller, J. (R)

#### 2 Boyd (D)

#### 3 Brown, C. (D)

#### 4 Crenshaw (R)

#### 5 Brown-Waite (R)

#### 6 Stearns (R)

#### 7 Mica (R)

#### 8 Keller (R)

#### 9 Bilirakis (R)

#### 10 Young, B. (R)

#### 11 Castor (D)

#### 12 Putnam (R)

#### 13 Buchanan (R)

#### 14 Mack (R)

#### 15 Weldon (R)

#### 16 Mahoney (D)

#### 17 Meek, K. (D)

#### 18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)

#### 19 Wexler (D)

#### 20 Wasserman Schultz (D)

#### 21 Diaz-Balart, L. (R)

#### 22 Klein (D)

#### 23 Hastings, A. (D)

#### 24 Feeney (R)

#### 25 Diaz-Balart, M. (R)

		% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside
AL Castle (R)	69	95	85	66	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
FLORIDA																		
1 Miller, J. (R)	0	10	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2 Boyd (D)	85	65	73	52	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3 Brown, C. (D)	85	85	85	84	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4 Crenshaw (R)	15	5	9	8	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
5 Brown-Waite (R)	38	20	27	15	?	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
6 Stearns (R)	0	15	9	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7 Mica (R)	8	5	6	8	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8 Keller (R)	15	15	15	13	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
9 Bilirakis (R)	38	15	24	24	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
10 Young, B. (R)	38	35	36	29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11 Castor (D)	85	95	91	91	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12 Putnam (R)	8	5	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
13 Buchanan (R)	62	25	39	39	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
14 Mack (R)	8	5	6	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
15 Weldon (R)	8	5	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
16 Mahoney (D)	92	75	82	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17 Meek, K. (D)	92	85	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	69	30	46	43	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
19 Wexler (D)	69	85	79	94	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
20 Wasserman Schultz (D)	92	90	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21 Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	31	20	24	27	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✓
22 Klein (D)	92	75	82	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23 Hastings, A. (D)	92	90	91	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
24 Feeney (R)	0	5	3	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
25 Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	38	15	24	15	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

### GEORGIA

			% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside
1	Kingston	(R)	0	0	0	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
2	Bishop, S.	(D)	92	75	82	46	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Westmoreland	(R)	8	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, H.	(D)	92	95	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
5	Lewis, John	(D)	100	95	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Price, T.	(R)	8	10	9	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
7	Linder	(R)	8	0	3	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗
8	Marshall	(D)	69	55	61	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	?	✗
9	Deal	(R)	8	5	6	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Broun	(R)	0	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Gingrey	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Barrow	(D)	54	65	61	63	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
13	Scott, D.	(D)	92	80	85	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗

### HAWAII

1	Abercrombie	(D)	77	70	73	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Hirono	(D)	92	90	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### IDAHO

1	Sali	(R)	8	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
2	Simpson	(R)	23	5	12	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗

### ILLINOIS

1	Rush	(D)	31	85	64	76	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓
2	Jackson, J.	(D)	92	95	94	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Lipinski	(D)	100	90	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutierrez	(D)	85	80	82	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗
5	Emanuel	(D)	100	90	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Roskam	(R)	23	15	18	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
7	Davis, Danny	(D)	92	95	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Bean	(D)	85	85	85	83	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
9	Schakowsky	(D)	100	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

	Name	Party	2008		2007		% 110th Congress		% Lifetime		① Clean Energy Tax Credits I		② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits		③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II		④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling		⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy		⑥ Green Building Standards		⑦ Public Transportation Grants		⑧ Public Lands Grazing		⑨ National Landscape Conservation System		⑩ Public Lands Protection		⑪ Environmental Funding		⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform		⑬ No Child Left Inside	
			%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score
10	Kirk	(R)	69	90	82	67	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
11	Weller	(R)	23	25	24	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
12	Costello	(D)	92	75	82	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
13	Biggert	(R)	38	55	49	32	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?		
14	Foster*	(D)	73	N/A	73	73	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Johnson, Timothy	(R)	62	75	70	66	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Manzullo	(R)	8	0	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Hare	(D)	92	85	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	LaHood	(R)	46	35	39	27	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
19	Shimkus	(R)	8	10	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

## INDIANA

1	Visclosky	(D)	85	85	85	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
2	Donnelly	(D)	54	85	73	73	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3	Souder	(R)	31	5	15	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
4	Buyer	(R)	15	5	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
5	Burton	(R)	0	5	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
6	Pence	(R)	0	5	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	
7	Carson, A.**	(D)	91	N/A	91	91	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Ellsworth	(D)	62	75	70	70	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Hill, B.	(D)	85	85	85	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## IOWA

1	Braley	(D)	92	85	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
2	Loebssack	(D)	92	90	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boswell	(D)	85	75	79	59	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Latham	(R)	23	5	12	8	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	King, S.	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Foster was sworn in March 11, 2008 following the resignation of Representative Hastert on November 26, 2007.

\*\* Representative A. Carson was sworn in March 13, 2008 following the death of Representative J. Carson on December 15, 2007.

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)



## KANSAS

1	Moran, Jerry	(R)	15	10	12	10	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boyda	(D)	85	70	76	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Moore, D.	(D)	85	85	85	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
4	Tiahrt	(R)	15	5	9	4	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗

## KENTUCKY

1	Whitfield	(R)	38	15	24	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Lewis, R.	(R)	0	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yarmuth	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Davis, G.	(R)	8	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Rogers, H.	(R)	8	5	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Chandler	(D)	100	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## LOUISIANA

1	Scalise*	(R)	0	N/A	0	0	ⓘ	✗	✗	✗	✗	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ
2	Jefferson	(D)	85	75	79	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Melancon	(D)	77	55	64	44	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	McCrery	(R)	0	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
5	Alexander, R.	(R)	0	10	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Cazayoux**	(D)	50	N/A	50	50	ⓘ	✓	✓	✗	✗	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✓
7	Boustany	(R)	8	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## MAINE

1	Allen	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Michaud	(D)	92	100	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MARYLAND

1	Gilchrest	(R)	77	60	67	64	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Ruppersberger	(D)	92	80	85	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Sarbanes	(D)	100	85	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Edwards, D.***	(D)	100	N/A	100	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
4	Wynn***	(D)	56	100	86	85	✓	?	?	?	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ

\* Representative Scalise was sworn in May 7, 2008 following the resignation of Representative Jindal on January 14, 2008.

\*\* Representative Cazayoux was sworn in May 6, 2008 following the resignation of Representative Baker on February 2, 2008.

\*\*\* Representative Edwards was sworn in June 19, 2008 following the resignation of Representative Wynn on May 31, 2008.

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2008		2007		% 110th Congress		% Lifetime		① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside		
			%		%		%		%																
5	Hoyer	(D)	92	90	91	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
6	Bartlett	(R)	15	45	33	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Cummings	(D)	92	95	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
8	Van Hollen	(D)	100	95	97	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MASSACHUSETTS

1	Olver	(D)	100	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Neal	(D)	100	95	97	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	McGovern	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Frank, B.	(D)	100	80	88	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Tsongas	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Tierney	(D)	100	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Markey	(D)	100	95	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Capuano	(D)	100	95	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lynch	(D)	100	90	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Delahunt	(D)	85	90	88	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

## MICHIGAN

1	Stupak	(D)	92	70	79	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
2	Hoekstra	(R)	0	5	3	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
3	Ehlers	(R)	69	70	70	59	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	
4	Camp	(R)	0	0	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
5	Kildee	(D)	92	80	85	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Upton	(R)	54	35	42	39	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
7	Walberg	(R)	0	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Rogers, Michael J.	(R)	15	5	9	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Knollenberg	(R)	31	20	24	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
10	Miller, C.	(R)	31	25	27	16	?	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
11	McCotter	(R)	15	15	15	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Levin, S.	(D)	92	90	91	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Kilpatrick	(D)	92	80	85	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
14	Conyers	(D)	85	85	85	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
15	Dingell	(D)	100	90	94	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																
		% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside

## MINNESOTA

1	Walz	(D)	77	85	82	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Kline	(R)	8	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Ramstad	(R)	62	95	82	67	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓
4	McCollum	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ellison	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Bachman	(R)	8	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Peterson, C.	(D)	85	60	70	42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
8	Oberstar	(D)	92	75	82	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?

## MISSISSIPPI

1	Childers*	(D)	67	N/A	67	67	ⓘ	✓	✓	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ
2	Thompson, B.	(D)	92	85	88	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Pickering	(R)	8	5	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
4	Taylor	(D)	77	80	79	43	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MISSOURI

1	Clay	(D)	92	75	82	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Akin	(R)	0	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Carnahan	(D)	92	90	91	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Skelton	(D)	85	70	76	42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Cleaver	(D)	85	85	85	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
6	Graves	(R)	8	5	6	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
7	Blunt	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
8	Emerson	(R)	15	15	15	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
9	Hulshof	(R)	8	5	6	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	?

## MONTANA

AL	Rehberg	(R)	8	10	9	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
----	---------	-----	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

## NEBRASKA

1	Fortenberry	(R)	46	45	46	29	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Terry	(R)	15	15	15	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
3	Smith, Adrian	(R)	8	5	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Childers was sworn in May 20, 2008 following the appointment of Representative Wicker to the Senate on December 31, 2007.

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES													
		% 2008		% 2007		% 110th Congress		% Lifetime		① Clean Energy Tax Credits I		② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits		③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	
1	Berkley	(D)	92	75	82	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
2	Heller	(R)	23	15	18	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Porter	(R)	54	30	39	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## NEVADA

1	Berkley	(D)	92	75	82	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
2	Heller	(R)	23	15	18	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Porter	(R)	54	30	39	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

1	Shea-Porter	(D)	100	90	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hodes	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NEW JERSEY

1	Andrews	(D)	85	100	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
2	LoBiondo	(R)	85	90	88	75	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Saxton	(R)	54	75	67	62	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
4	Smith, C.	(R)	77	85	82	73	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
5	Garrett	(R)	8	20	15	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Pallone	(D)	100	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Ferguson	(R)	38	85	67	51	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
8	Pascrell	(D)	92	100	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
9	Rothman	(D)	92	90	91	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	(D)	100	75	85	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	(R)	62	70	67	56	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
12	Holt	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Sires	(D)	85	95	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓

## NEW MEXICO

1	Wilson, H.	(R)	23	15	18	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Pearce	(R)	15	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Udall, T.	(D)	92	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NEW YORK

1	Bishop, T.	(D)	100	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Israel	(D)	100	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	King, P.	(R)	23	30	27	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?
4	McCarthy, C.	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ackerman	(D)	100	85	91	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																																	
	Rep/ Dem	% 2008		% 2007		% 110th Congress		% Lifetime		① Clean Energy Tax Credits I		② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits		③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II		④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling		⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy		⑥ Green Building Standards		⑦ Public Transportation Grants		⑧ Public Lands Grazing		⑨ National Landscape Conservation System		⑩ Public Lands Protection		⑪ Environmental Funding		⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform		⑬ No Child Left Inside	
		#	Name	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score	Rep/ Dem	Score		
6	Meeks, G.	(D)	100	90	94	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
7	Crowley	(D)	92	95	94	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
8	Nadler	(D)	100	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
9	Weiner	(D)	92	90	91	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
10	Towns	(D)	92	90	91	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
11	Clarke	(D)	92	80	85	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
12	Velázquez	(D)	92	85	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
13	Fossella	(R)	23	30	27	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
14	Maloney	(D)	92	100	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
15	Rangel	(D)	92	90	91	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
16	Serrano	(D)	100	85	91	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
17	Engel	(D)	92	85	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
18	Lowey	(D)	100	95	97	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
19	Hall, J.	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
20	Gillibrand	(D)	69	95	85	85	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
21	McNulty	(D)	100	95	97	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
22	Hinchey	(D)	100	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
23	McHugh	(R)	38	45	42	24	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	
24	Arcuri	(D)	92	90	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
25	Walsh	(R)	38	45	42	39	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
26	Reynolds	(R)	15	5	9	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
27	Higgins	(D)	85	95	91	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Slaughter	(D)	92	95	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Kuhl	(R)	38	30	33	25	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NORTH CAROLINA

1	Butterfield	(D)	92	70	79	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Etheridge	(D)	92	85	88	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Jones, W.	(R)	15	40	30	21	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
4	Price, D.	(D)	92	95	94	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Foxx	(R)	0	10	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coble	(R)	15	5	9	14	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
  - ✗ = Anti-environment action
  - ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
  - ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

NORTH DAKOTA

<b>AL</b>	Pomeroy	(D)	<b>85</b>	85	85	<b>60</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
-----------	---------	-----	-----------	----	----	-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

OHIO

<b>1</b>	Chabot	(R)	<b>8</b>	20	15	<b>22</b>	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X
<b>2</b>	Schmidt	(R)	<b>8</b>	10	9	<b>8</b>	X	X	X	?	X	?	X	X	X	X	✓
<b>3</b>	Turner	(R)	<b>23</b>	10	15	<b>7</b>	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓
<b>4</b>	Jordan	(R)	<b>0</b>	5	3	<b>3</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>5</b>	Latta	(R)	<b>0</b>	N/A	0	<b>0</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>6</b>	Wilson, C.	(D)	<b>77</b>	60	67	<b>67</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	X	✓	✓	✓
<b>7</b>	Hobson	(R)	<b>38</b>	20	27	<b>16</b>	X	X	✓	X	X	?	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
<b>8</b>	Boehner	(R)	<b>0</b>	0	0	<b>2</b>	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	X	X	X
<b>9</b>	Kaptur	(D)	<b>92</b>	80	85	<b>76</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>10</b>	Kucinich	(D)	<b>92</b>	80	85	<b>91</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>11</b>	Tubbs Jones, S.*	(D)	<b>82</b>	75	77	<b>81</b>	?	✓	✓	✓	①	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
<b>12</b>	Tiberi	(R)	<b>38</b>	15	24	<b>12</b>	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>13</b>	Sutton	(D)	<b>92</b>	85	88	<b>88</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>14</b>	LaTourette	(R)	<b>38</b>	40	39	<b>26</b>	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>15</b>	Pryce, D.	(R)	<b>31</b>	25	27	<b>18</b>	X	X	✓	X	?	X	✓	X	✓	?	?
<b>16</b>	Regula	(R)	<b>38</b>	20	27	<b>30</b>	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>17</b>	Ryan, T.	(D)	<b>77</b>	80	79	<b>78</b>	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
<b>18</b>	Space	(D)	<b>85</b>	60	70	<b>70</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓

\* Representative Tubbs Jones died on August 20, 2008.

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES																	
	% 2008	% 2007	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	⑥ Green Building Standards	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	⑩ Public Lands Protection	⑪ Environmental Funding	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	⑬ No Child Left Inside

## OKLAHOMA

1	Sullivan	(R)	8	5	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗
2	Boren	(D)	69	15	36	27	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
3	Lucas	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Cole	(R)	8	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Fallin	(R)	8	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

## OREGON

1	Wu	(D)	92	100	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walden	(R)	8	20	15	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?
3	Blumenauer	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	DeFazio	(D)	100	95	97	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Hooley	(D)	92	85	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

## PENNSYLVANIA

1	Brady, R.	(D)	92	85	88	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
2	Fattah	(D)	92	90	91	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
3	English	(R)	38	35	36	28	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
4	Altmire	(D)	77	80	79	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
5	Peterson, J.	(R)	0	10	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Gerlach	(R)	54	70	64	59	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
7	Sestak	(D)	92	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
8	Murphy, P.	(D)	92	90	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
9	Shuster	(R)	15	5	9	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Carney	(D)	85	80	82	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
11	Kanjorski	(D)	92	70	79	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
12	Murtha	(D)	92	75	82	45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
13	Schwartz	(D)	100	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Doyle	(D)	92	80	85	62	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
15	Dent	(R)	46	55	52	30	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Pitts	(R)	8	10	9	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
17	Holden	(D)	85	70	76	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

## HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
  - ✗ = Anti-environment action
  - ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
  - ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

		LCV Scores									
		% 2008			% 2007			% 110th Congress		% Lifetime	
18	Murphy, T.	(R)	31	20	24	12	36	X	X	① Clean Energy Tax Credits I	
19	Platts	(R)	62	55	58	36	36	X	X	② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	
								X	X	③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II	
								X	X	④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling	
								X	X	⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy	
								X	X	⑥ Green Building Standards	
								X	X	⑦ Public Transportation Grants	
								X	X	⑧ Public Lands Grazing	
								X	X	⑨ National Landscape Conservation System	
								X	X	⑩ Public Lands Protection	
								X	X	⑪ Environmental Funding	
								X	X	⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform	
								X	X	⑬ No Child Left Inside	

RHODE ISLAND

SOUTH CAROLINA

## SOUTH DAKOTA

<b>AL</b>	Herseth Sandlin	(D)	<b>77</b>	70	73	<b>62</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓
-----------	-----------------	-----	-----------	----	----	-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

TENNESSEE

TEXAS

## HOUSE VOTES

### KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES														
	Name	Party	% 2008		% 2007		% 110th Congress		% Lifetime		1 Clean Energy Tax Credits I		2 Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits	
			Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
7	Culberson	(R)	0	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Green, A.	(D)	85	85	85	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	McCauley	(R)	8	10	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Conaway	(R)	0	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
12	Granger	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
13	Thornberry	(R)	0	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Paul	(R)	0	15	9	28	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	?
15	Hinojosa	(D)	85	55	67	59	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Reyes	(D)	85	70	76	58	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Edwards, C.	(D)	77	60	67	42	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Jackson Lee, S.	(D)	77	90	85	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
19	Neugebauer	(R)	0	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
20	Gonzalez	(D)	85	85	85	79	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Smith, L.	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Lampson	(D)	23	50	39	62	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	?
23	Rodriguez	(D)	77	80	79	72	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Marchant	(R)	0	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
25	Doggett	(D)	92	90	91	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Burgess	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
27	Ortiz	(D)	77	35	52	40	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Cuellar	(D)	77	65	70	48	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Green, G.	(D)	85	65	73	62	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Johnson, E.B.	(D)	92	80	85	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Carter	(R)	0	0	0	1	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Sessions	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

### UTAH

1	Bishop, R.	(R)	0	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
2	Matheson	(D)	77	55	64	61	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Cannon	(R)	0	10	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## HOUSE VOTES

### KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

### LCV SCORES

%  
2008

%  
2007

%  
110th Congress

%  
Lifetime

① Clean Energy Tax Credits I

② Undermining Clean Energy Tax Credits

③ Clean Energy Tax Credits II

④ Arctic Refuge, Offshore, Western Drilling

⑤ Gutting Renewable Energy

⑥ Green Building Standards

⑦ Public Transportation Grants

⑧ Public Lands Grazing

⑨ National Landscape Conservation System

⑩ Public Lands Protection

⑪ Environmental Funding

⑫ Farm Bill — Subsidy Reform

⑬ No Child Left Inside

### VERMONT

<b>AL Welch</b>	(D)	<b>92</b>	95	94	<b>94</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
-----------------	-----	-----------	----	----	-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

### VIRGINIA

<b>1 Wittman</b>	(R)	<b>23</b>	N/A	23	<b>23</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
<b>2 Drake</b>	(R)	<b>8</b>	10	9	<b>10</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	
<b>3 Scott, R.</b>	(D)	<b>100</b>	90	94	<b>84</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<b>4 Forbes</b>	(R)	<b>0</b>	5	3	<b>5</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	
<b>5 Goode</b>	(R)	<b>0</b>	0	0	<b>11</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
<b>6 Goodlatte</b>	(R)	<b>0</b>	0	0	<b>9</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
<b>7 Cantor</b>	(R)	<b>8</b>	5	6	<b>3</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
<b>8 Moran, James</b>	(D)	<b>100</b>	95	97	<b>84</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<b>9 Boucher</b>	(D)	<b>77</b>	75	76	<b>67</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓
<b>10 Wolf</b>	(R)	<b>31</b>	60	49	<b>28</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
<b>11 Davis, T.</b>	(R)	<b>38</b>	40	39	<b>40</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✗	✓

### WASHINGTON

<b>1 Inslee</b>	(D)	<b>100</b>	95	97	<b>90</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<b>2 Larsen, R.</b>	(D)	<b>92</b>	80	85	<b>85</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
<b>3 Baird</b>	(D)	<b>100</b>	95	97	<b>92</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<b>4 Hastings, D.</b>	(R)	<b>0</b>	5	3	<b>2</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	
<b>5 McMorris Rodgers</b>	(R)	<b>0</b>	5	3	<b>2</b>	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	
<b>6 Dicks</b>	(D)	<b>92</b>	95	94	<b>67</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
<b>7 McDermott</b>	(D)	<b>92</b>	95	94	<b>89</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
<b>8 Reichert</b>	(R)	<b>69</b>	85	79	<b>62</b>	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
<b>9 Smith, Adam</b>	(D)	<b>92</b>	90	91	<b>90</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

### WEST VIRGINIA

<b>1 Mollohan</b>	(D)	<b>92</b>	55	70	<b>43</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
<b>2 Capito</b>	(R)	<b>38</b>	20	27	<b>28</b>	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
<b>3 Rahall</b>	(D)	<b>85</b>	75	79	<b>66</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

## HOUSE VOTES

### KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)



### WISCONSIN

1	Ryan, P.	(R)	8	25	18	25	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Baldwin	(D)	100	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind	(D)	100	95	97	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Moore, G.	(D)	100	95	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	(R)	8	20	15	38	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Petri	(R)	38	50	46	50	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Obey	(D)	100	90	94	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Kagen	(D)	92	90	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

### WYOMING

AL	Cubin	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
----	-------	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

## MEMBERS OF THE SECOND SESSION OF THE 110TH CONGRESS

### SENATE LCV SCORES FOR 2008

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Akaka, Daniel (D) HI	100	Domenici, Pete (R) NM	18	Menendez, Robert (D) NJ	91
Alexander, Lamar (R) TN	18	Dorgan, Byron (D) ND	91	Mikulski, Barbara (D) MD	91
Allard, Wayne (R) CO	18	Durbin, Richard (D) IL	100	Murkowski, Lisa (R) AK	9
Barrasso, John (R) WY	18	Ensign, John (R) NV	18	Murray, Patty (D) WA	91
Baucus, Max (D) MT	100	Enzi, Michael (R) WY	18	Nelson, Ben (D) NE	91
Bayh, Evan (D) IN	82	Feingold, Russ (D) WI	100	Nelson, Bill (D) FL	91
Bennett, Robert (R) UT	18	Feinstein, Dianne (D) CA	100	Obama, Barack (D) IL	18
Biden, Joseph (D) DE	64	Graham, Lindsey (R) SC	9	Pryor, Mark (D) AR	91
Bingaman, Jeff (D) NM	100	Grassley, Charles (R) IA	27	Reed, Jack (D) RI	100
Bond, Christopher (R) MO	18	Gregg, Judd (R) NH	9	Reid, Harry (D) NV	100
Boxer, Barbara (D) CA	100	Hagel, Chuck (R) NE	9	Roberts, Pat (R) KS	18
Brown, Sherrod (D) OH	91	Harkin, Tom (D) IA	91	Rockefeller, John (D) WV	91
Brownback, Sam (R) KS	18	Hatch, Orrin (R) UT	18	Salazar, Ken (D) CO	100
Bunning, Jim (R) KY	18	Hutchison, Kay Bailey (R) TX	18	Sanders, Bernard (I) VT	100
Burr, Richard (R) NC	18	Inhofe, James (R) OK	9	Schumer, Charles (D) NY	91
Byrd, Robert (D) WV	73	Inouye, Daniel (D) HI	91	Sessions, Jeff (R) AL	18
Cantwell, Maria (D) WA	100	Isakson, Johnny (R) GA	9	Shelby, Richard (R) AL	18
Cardin, Benjamin (D) MD	100	Johnson, Tim (D) SD	91	Smith, Gordon (R) OR	91
Carper, Thomas (D) DE	100	Kennedy, Edward (D) MA	36	Snowe, Olympia J. (R) ME	91
Casey, Bob (D) PA	100	Kerry, John (D) MA	100	Specter, Arlen (R) PA	27
Chambliss, Saxby (R) GA	9	Klobuchar, Amy (D) MN	100	Stabenow, Debbie (D) MI	100
Clinton, Hillary (D) NY	36	Kohl, Herbert (D) WI	100	Stevens, Ted (R) AK	9
Coburn, Tom (R) OK	9	Kyl, Jon (R) AZ	18	Sununu, John (R) NH	36
Cochran, Thad (R) MS	9	Landrieu, Mary (D) LA	55	Tester, Jon (D) MT	100
Coleman, Norm (R) MN	73	Lautenberg, Frank (D) NJ	91	Thune, John (R) SD	18
Collins, Susan (R) ME	100	Leahy, Patrick (D) VT	100	Vitter, David (R) LA	0
Conrad, Kent (D) ND	91	Levin, Carl (D) MI	100	Voinovich, George (R) OH	18
Corker, Bob (R) TN	27	Lieberman, Joseph (I) CT	100	Warner, John (R) VA	27
Cornyn, John (R) TX	18	Lincoln, Blanche (D) AR	91	Webb, James (D) VA	91
Craig, Larry (R) ID	9	Lugar, Richard (R) IN	18	Whitehouse, Sheldon (D) RI	100
Crapo, Michael (R) ID	18	Martinez, Mel (R) FL	36	Wicker, Roger (R) MS	9
DeMint, Jim (R) SC	9	McCain, John (R) AZ	0	Wyden, Ron (D) OR	100
Dodd, Christopher (D) CT	100	McCaskill, Claire (D) MO	82		
Dole, Elizabeth (R) NC	55	McConnell, Mitch (R) KY	9		

### HOUSE LCV SCORES FOR 2008

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Abercrombie, Neil (D) HI-1	77	Baird, Brian (D) WA-3	100	Bilbray, Brian (R) CA-50	38
Ackerman, Gary (D) NY-5	100	Baldwin, Tammy (D) WI-2	100	Bilirakis, Gus (R) FL-9	38
Aderholt, Robert (R) AL-4	0	Barrett, Gresham (R) SC-3	8	Bishop, Rob (R) UT-1	0
Akin, Todd (R) MO-2	0	Barrow, John (D) GA-12	54	Bishop, Sanford (D) GA-2	92
Alexander, Rodney (R) LA-5	0	Bartlett, Roscoe (R) MD-6	15	Bishop, Tim (D) NY-1	100
Allen, Thomas (D) ME-1	100	Barton, Joe (R) TX-6	0	Blackburn, Marsha (R) TN-7	0
Altmire, Jason (D) PA-4	77	Bean, Melissa (D) IL-8	85	Blumenauer, Earl (D) OR-3	100
Andrews, Robert (D) NJ-1	85	Becerra, Xavier (D) CA-31	92	Blunt, Roy (R) MO-7	0
Arcuri, Michael (D) NY-24	92	Berkley, Shelley (D) NV-1	92	Boehner, John (R) OH-8	0
Baca, Joe (D) CA-43	85	Berman, Howard (D) CA-28	100	Bonner, Jo (R) AL-1	0
Bachmann, Michele Marie (R) MN-6	8	Berry, Marion (D) AR-1	77	Bono Mack, Mary (R) CA-45	31
Bachus, Spencer (R) AL-6	15	Biggart, Judy (R) IL-13	38	Boozman, John (R) AR-3	8

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Boren, Dan (D) OK-2	69	Cubin, Barbara (R) WY-AL	0	Franks, Trent (R) AZ-2	0
Boswell, Leonard (D) IA-3	85	Cuellar, Henry (D) TX-28	77	Frelinghuysen, Rodney (R) NJ-11	62
Boucher, Rick (D) VA-9	77	Culberson, John (R) TX-7	0	Gallegly, Elton (R) CA-24	0
Boustany, Charles (R) LA-7	8	Cummings, Elijah (D) MD-7	92	Garrett, Scott (R) NJ-5	8
Boyd, F. Allen (D) FL-2	85	Davis, Artur (D) AL-7	92	Gerlach, James (R) PA-6	54
Boyda, Nancy (D) KS-2	85	Davis, Danny (D) IL-7	92	Giffords, Gabrielle (D) AZ-8	77
Brady, Kevin (R) TX-8	0	Davis, David (R) TN-1	0	Gilchrest, Wayne (R) MD-1	77
Brady, Robert (D) PA-1	92	Davis, Geoff (R) KY-4	8	Gillibrand, Kirsten (D) NY-20	69
Braley, Bruce (D) IA-1	92	Davis, Lincoln (D) TN-4	85	Gingrey, Phil (R) GA-11	0
Broun, Paul (R) GA-10	0	Davis, Susan (D) CA-53	100	Gohmert, Louis (R) TX-1	8
Brown, Corrine (D) FL-3	85	Davis, Thomas (R) VA-11	38	Gonzalez, Charles (D) TX-20	85
Brown, Henry (R) SC-1	8	Deal, Nathan (R) GA-9	8	Goode, Virgil (R) VA-5	0
Brown-Waite, Ginny (R) FL-5	38	DeFazio, Peter (D) OR-4	100	Goodlatte, Bob (R) VA-6	0
Buchanan, Vernon (R) FL-13	62	DeGette, Diana (D) CO-1	77	Gordon, Bart (D) TN-6	85
Burgess, Michael (R) TX-26	0	Delahunt, William (D) MA-10	85	Granger, Kay (R) TX-12	0
Burton, Dan (R) IN-5	0	DeLauro, Rosa (D) CT-3	92	Graves, Sam (R) MO-6	8
Butterfield, G.K. (D) NC-1	92	Dent, Charles (R) PA-15	46	Green, Al (D) TX-9	85
Buyer, Steve (R) IN-4	15	Diaz-Balart, Lincoln (R) FL-21	31	Green, Gene (D) TX-29	85
Calvert, Ken (R) CA-44	8	Diaz-Balart, Mario (R) FL-25	38	Grijalva, Raúl (D) AZ-7	85
Camp, Dave (R) MI-4	0	Dicks, Norman (D) WA-6	92	Gutierrez, Luis (D) IL-4	85
Campbell, John (R) CA-48	0	Dingell, John (D) MI-15	100	Hall, John (D) NY-19	100
Cannon, Christopher (R) UT-3	0	Doggett, Lloyd (D) TX-25	92	Hall, Ralph (R) TX-4	0
Cantor, Eric (R) VA-7	8	Donnelly, Joe (D) IN-2	54	Hare, Philip (D) IL-17	92
Capito, Shelley Moore (R) WV-2	38	Doolittle, John (R) CA-4	8	Harman, Jane (D) CA-36	100
Capps, Lois (D) CA-23	100	Doyle, Mike (D) PA-14	92	Hastings, Alcee (D) FL-23	92
Capuano, Michael (D) MA-8	100	Drake, Thelma (R) VA-2	8	Hastings, Richard "Doc" (R) WA-4	0
Cardoza, Dennis (D) CA-18	92	Dreier, David (R) CA-26	0	Hayes, Robin (R) NC-8	31
Carnahan, Russ (D) MO-3	92	Duncan, John (R) TN-2	8	Heller, Dean (R) NV-2	23
Carney, Chris (D) PA-10	85	Edwards, Chet (D) TX-17	77	Hensarling, Jeb (R) TX-5	8
Carson, Andre (D) IN-7	91	Edwards, Donna (D) MD-4	100	Herger, Wally (R) CA-2	0
Carter, John (R) TX-31	0	Ehlers, Vernon (R) MI-3	69	Herseth Sandlin, Stephanie (D) SD-AL	77
Castle, Michael (R) DE-AL	69	Ellison, Keith (D) MN-5	100	Higgins, Brian (D) NY-27	85
Castor, Kathy (D) FL-11	85	Ellsworth, Brad (D) IN-8	62	Hill, Baron (D) IN-9	85
Cazayoux, Don (D) LA-6	50	Emanuel, Rahm (D) IL-5	100	Hinchey, Maurice (D) NY-22	100
Chabot, Steve (R) OH-1	8	Emerson, Jo Ann (R) MO-8	15	Hinojosa, Rubén (D) TX-15	85
Chandler, Ben (D) KY-6	100	Engel, Eliot (D) NY-17	92	Hirono, Mazie (D) HI-2	92
Childers, Travis (D) MS-1	67	English, Philip (R) PA-3	38	Hobson, David (R) OH-7	38
Clarke, Yvette (D) NY-11	92	Eshoo, Anna (D) CA-14	100	Hodes, Paul (D) NH-2	100
Clay, William (D) MO-1	92	Etheridge, Bob (D) NC-2	92	Hoekstra, Peter (R) MI-2	0
Cleaver, Emanuel (D) MO-5	85	Everett, Terry (R) AL-2	0	Holden, Tim (D) PA-17	85
Clyburn, James (D) SC-6	92	Fallin, Mary (R) OK-5	8	Holt, Rush (D) NJ-12	100
Coble, Howard (R) NC-6	15	Farr, Sam (D) CA-17	92	Honda, Michael (D) CA-15	100
Cohen, Stephen Ira (D) TN-9	100	Fattah, Chaka (D) PA-2	92	Hooley, Darlene (D) OR-5	92
Cole, Tom (R) OK-4	8	Feeney, Tom (R) FL-24	0	Hoyer, Steny (D) MD-5	92
Conaway, K. Michael (R) TX-11	0	Ferguson, Michael (R) NJ-7	38	Hulshof, Kenny (R) MO-9	8
Conyers, John (D) MI-14	85	Filner, Bob (D) CA-51	92	Hunter, Duncan (R) CA-52	0
Cooper, Jim (D) TN-5	100	Flake, Jeff (R) AZ-6	8	Inglis, Bob (R) SC-4	31
Costa, Jim (D) CA-20	77	Forbes, Randy (R) VA-4	0	Inslee, Jay (D) WA-1	100
Costello, Jerry (D) IL-12	92	Fortenberry, Jeffrey (R) NE-1	46	Israel, Steve (D) NY-2	100
Courtney, Joe (D) CT-2	100	Fossella, Vito (R) NY-13	23	Issa, Darrell (R) CA-49	8
Cramer, Robert (D) AL-5	77	Foster, Bill (D) IL-14	73	Jackson Lee, Sheila (D) TX-2	77
Crenshaw, Ander (R) FL-4	15	Foxx, Virginia (R) NC-5	0	Jackson, Jesse (D) IL-19	92
Crowley, Joseph (D) NY-7	92	Frank, Barney (D) MA-4	100	Jefferson, William (D) LA-2	85

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Johnson, Eddie Bernice (D) TX-30	92	Matheson, James (D) UT-2	77	Pearce, Steve (R) NM-2	15
Johnson, Hank (D) GA-4	92	Matsui, Doris (D) CA-5	92	Pelosi, Nancy (D) CA-8	NA
Johnson, Sam (R) TX-3	8	McCarthy, Carolyn (D) NY-22	100	Pence, Mike (R) IN-6	0
Johnson, Timothy (R) IL-15	62	McCarthy, Kevin (R) CA-22	0	Perlmutter, Edwin (D) CO-7	85
Jones, Walter (R) NC-3	15	McCaul, Michael (R) TX-10	8	Peterson, Collin (D) MN-7	85
Jordan, James (R) OH-4	0	McCollum, Betty (D) MN-4	100	Peterson, John (R) PA-5	0
Kagen, Steve (D) WI-8	92	McCotter, Thaddeus (R) MI-11	15	Petri, Thomas (R) WI-6	38
Kanjorski, Paul (D) PA-11	92	McCrery, Jim (R) LA-4	0	Pickering, Charles (R) MS-3	8
Kaptur, Marcy (D) OH-9	92	McDermott, Jim (D) WA-7	92	Pitts, Joseph (R) PA-16	8
Keller, Ric (R) FL-8	15	McGovern, James (D) MA-3	100	Platts, Todd (R) PA-19	62
Kennedy, Patrick (D) RI-1	77	McHenry, Patrick (R) NC-10	0	Poe, Ted (R) TX-2	0
Kildee, Dale (D) MI-5	92	McHugh, John (R) NY-23	38	Pomeroy, Earl (D) ND-AL	85
Kilpatrick, Carolyn (D) MI-13	92	McIntyre, Mike (D) NC-7	77	Porter, Jon (R) NV-3	54
Kind, Ronald (D) WI-3	100	McKeon, Howard "Buck" (R) CA-25	8	Price, David (D) NC-4	92
King, Peter (R) NY-3	23	McMorris Rodgers, Cathy (R) WA-5	0	Price, Tom (R) GA-6	8
King, Steve (R) IA-5	0	McNerney, Jerry (D) CA-11	77	Pryce, Deborah (R) OH-15	31
Kingston, Jack (R) GA-1	0	McNulty, Michael (D) NY-21	100	Putnam, Adam (R) FL-12	8
Kirk, Mark (R) IL-10	69	Meek, Kendrick (D) FL-17	92	Radanovich, George (R) CA-19	8
Klein, Ron (D) FL-22	92	Meeks, Gregory (D) NY-6	100	Rahall, Nick (D) WV-3	85
Kline, John (R) MN-2	8	Melancon, Charles (D) LA-3	77	Ramstad, Jim (R) MN-3	62
Knollenberg, Joseph (R) MI-9	31	Mica, John (R) FL-7	8	Rangel, Charles (D) NY-15	92
Kucinich, Dennis (D) OH-10	92	Michaud, Mike (D) ME-2	92	Regula, Ralph (R) OH-16	38
Kuhl, John "Randy" (R) NY-29	38	Miller, Brad (D) NC-13	92	Rehberg, Dennis (R) MT-AL	8
LaHood, Ray (R) IL-18	46	Miller, Candice (R) MI-10	31	Reichert, Dave (R) WA-8	69
Lamborn, Douglas (R) CO-5	0	Miller, Gary (R) CA-42	0	Renzi, Rick (R) AZ-1	38
Lampson, Nick (D) TX-22	23	Miller, George (D) CA-7	92	Reyes, Silvestre (D) TX-16	85
Langevin, James (D) RI-2	92	Miller, Jeff (R) FL-1	0	Reynolds, Thomas (R) NY-26	15
Larsen, Richard (D) WA-2	92	Mitchell, Harry (D) AZ-5	77	Richardson, Laura (D) CA-37	85
Larson, John (D) CT-1	85	Mollohan, Alan (D) WV-1	92	Rodriguez, Ciro (D) TX-23	77
Latham, Tom (R) IA-4	23	Moore, Dennis (D) KS-3	85	Rogers, Harold (R) KY-5	8
LaTourette, Steven (R) OH-14	38	Moore, Gwendolynne (D) WI-4	100	Rogers, Michael D. (R) AL-3	31
Latta, Robert (R) OH-5	0	Moran, James (D) VA-8	100	Rogers, Michael J. (R) MI-8	15
Lee, Barbara (D) CA-9	100	Moran, Jerry (R) KS-1	15	Rohrabacher, Dana (R) CA-46	0
Levin, Sander (D) MI-12	92	Murphy, Chris (D) CT-5	100	Roskam, Peter (R) IL-6	23
Lewis, Jerry (R) CA-41	8	Murphy, Patrick (D) PA-8	92	Ros-Lehtinen, Illeana (R) FL-18	69
Lewis, John (D) GA-5	100	Murphy, Tim (R) PA-18	31	Ross, Mike (D) AR-4	77
Lewis, Ron (R) KY-2	0	Murtha, John (D) PA-12	92	Rothman, Steven R. (D) NJ-9	92
Linder, John (R) GA-7	8	Musgrave, Marilyn (R) CO-4	15	Royal-Allard, Lucille (D) CA-34	92
Lipinski, Daniel (D) IL-3	100	Myrick, Sue (R) NC-9	0	Royce, Edward (R) CA-40	0
LoBiondo, Frank (R) NJ-2	85	Nadler, Jerry (D) NY-8	100	Ruppersberger, C.A. Dutch (D) MD-2	92
Loesback, David (D) IA-2	92	Napolitano, Grace (D) CA-38	92	Rush, Bobby (D) IL-1	31
Lofgren, Zoe (D) CA-16	100	Neal, Richard (D) MA-2	100	Ryan, Paul (R) WI-1	8
Lowey, Nita (D) NY-18	100	Neugebauer, Randy (R) TX-19	0	Ryan, Tim (D) OH-17	77
Lucas, Frank (R) OK-3	0	Nunes, Devin (R) CA-21	0	Salazar, John (D) CO-3	85
Lungren, Dan (R) CA-3	0	Oberstar, James (D) MN-8	92	Sali, William (R) ID-1	8
Lynch, Stephen (D) MA-9	100	Obey, David (D) WI-7	100	Sanchez, Linda (D) CA-39	100
Mack, Connie (R) FL-14	8	Olver, John (D) MA-1	100	Sanchez, Loretta (D) CA-47	92
Mahoney, Tim (D) FL-16	92	Ortiz, Solomon (D) TX-27	77	Sarbanes, John (D) MD-3	100
Maloney, Carolyn (D) NY-14	92	Pallone, Frank (D) NJ-6	100	Saxton, Jim (R) NJ-3	54
Manzullo, Donald (R) IL-16	8	Pascarella, William (D) NJ-8	92	Scalise, Steve (R) LA-1	0
Marchant, Kenny (R) TX-24	0	Pastor, Ed (D) AZ-4	92	Schakowsky, Janice (D) IL-9	100
Markey, Edward (D) MA-7	100	Paul, Ron (R) TX-14	0	Schiff, Adam (D) CA-29	100
Marshall, Jim (D) GA-8	69	Payne, Donald (D) NJ-10	100	Schmidt, Jean (R) OH-2	8

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Schwartz, Allyson (D) PA-13	100	Stark, Pete (D) CA-13	100	Walsh, James (R) NY-25	38
Scott, Bobby (D) VA-3	100	Stearns, Cliff (R) FL-6	0	Walz, Timothy (D) MN-1	77
Scott, David (D) GA-13	92	Stupak, Bart (D) MI-1	92	Wamp, Zach (R) TN-3	15
Sensenbrenner, James (R) WI-5	8	Sullivan, John (R) OK-1	8	Wasserman Schultz, Debbie (D) FL-20	92
Serrano, Jose (D) NY-16	100	Sutton, Betty Sue (D) OH-13	92	Waters, Maxine (D) CA-35	100
Sessions, Pete (R) TX-32	0	Tancredo, Tom (R) CO-6	0	Watson, Diane (D) CA-33	92
Sestak, Joe (D) PA-7	92	Tanner, John (D) TN-8	85	Watt, Melvin (D) NC-12	92
Shadegg, John (R) AZ-3	0	Tauscher, Ellen (D) CA-10	100	Waxman, Henry (D) CA-30	100
Shays, Christopher (R) CT-4	54	Taylor, Gene (D) MS-4	77	Weiner, Anthony (D) NY-9	92
Shea-Porter, Carol (D) NH-1	100	Terry, Lee (R) NE-2	15	Welch, Peter (D) VT-AL	92
Sherman, Brad (D) CA-27	92	Thompson, Bennie (D) MS-2	92	Weldon, David (R) FL-15	8
Shimkus, John (R) IL-19	8	Thompson, Mike (D) CA-1	92	Weller, Jerry (R) IL-11	23
Shuler, Heath (D) NC-11	69	Thornberry, William "Mac" (R) TX-13	0	Westmoreland, Lynn (R) GA-3	8
Shuster, Bill (R) PA-9	15	Tiahrt, Todd (R) KS-4	15	Wexler, Robert (D) FL-19	69
Simpson, Mike (R) ID-2	23	Tiberi, Patrick (R) OH-12	38	Whitfield, Edward (R) KY-1	38
Sires, Albio (D) NJ-13	85	Tierney, John (D) MA-6	100	Wilson, Charlie (D) OH-6	77
Skelton, Ike (D) MO-4	85	Towns, Edolphus (D) NY-10	92	Wilson, Heather (R) NM-1	23
Slaughter, Louise (D) NY-28	92	Tsongas, Niki (D) MA-5	100	Wilson, Joe (R) SC-2	0
Smith, Adam (D) WA-9	92	Tubbs Jones, Stephanie (D) OH-11	82	Wittman, Rob (R) VA-1	23
Smith, Adrian (R) NE-3	8	Turner, Mike (R) OH-3	23	Wolf, Frank (R) VA-10	31
Smith, Christopher (R) NJ-4	77	Udall, Mark (D) CO-2	92	Woolsey, Lynn (D) CA-6	92
Smith, Lamar (R) TX-21	0	Udall, Tom (D) NM-3	92	Wu, David (D) OR-1	92
Snyder, Vic (D) AR-2	92	Upton, Fred (R) MI-6	54	Wynn, Albert (D) MD-4	56
Solis, Hilda (D) CA-32	85	Van Hollen, Chris (D) MD-8	100	Yarmuth, John (D) KY-3	100
Souder, Mark (R) IN-3	31	Velázquez, Nydia (D) NY-12	92	Young, Bill (R) FL-10	38
Space, Zachary (D) OH-18	85	Visclosky, Peter (D) IN-1	85	Young, Don (R) AK-AL	23
Speier, Jackie (D) CA-12	89	Walberg, Tim (R) MI-7	0		
Spratt, John (D) SC-5	92	Walden, Greg (R) OR-2	8		

## ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY

Please visit [www.lcv.org/scorecard](http://www.lcv.org/scorecard) to view the scorecard electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the state house to the White House.

To track how your representative and senators vote on key environmental and public health votes in 2009, please visit [www.lcv.org](http://www.lcv.org) to view our Online Vote Tracker.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit [www.lcv.org/donate](http://www.lcv.org/donate).

*Thank you for being the voice for the environment.*



## LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS | WWW.LCV.ORG



### LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

1920 L Street, NW, Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20036  
Phone: 202.785.8683  
[www.lcv.org](http://www.lcv.org)



NON-PROFIT  
US POSTAGE  
PAID  
WASHINGTON DC  
PERMIT # 2413