



# 2016 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

SECOND SESSION OF THE 114TH CONGRESS



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS  
[scorecard.lcv.org](http://scorecard.lcv.org)

## LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS \*

---

**JOHN H. ADAMS**

Natural Resources Defense Council

**BRENT BLACKWELDER, HONORARY**

Friends of the Earth

**THE HONORABLE SHERWOOD L. BOEHLERT**

The Accord Group

**THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER, CHAIR**

Center for American Progress

**BRENDON CECHOVIC**

Western Conservation Foundation

**CARRIE CLARK**

North Carolina League of Conservation Voters

**WADE GREENE, HONORARY**

Rockefeller Family & Associates

**RAMPA R. HORMEL**

Enlyst Fund

**JOHN HUNTING, HONORARY**

John Hunting & Associates

**MICHAEL KIESCHNICK**

NextGen Climate

**MARK MAGAÑA**

GreenLatinos

**PETE MAYSMITH**

Conservation Colorado

**WINSOME MCINTOSH, HONORARY**

The McIntosh Foundation

**WILLIAM H. MEADOWS III**

The Wilderness Society

**REUBEN MUNGER**

Vision Ridge Partners, LLC

**WILLIAM (BILL) J. ROBERTS**

Corridor Partners

**LARRY ROCKEFELLER**

American Conservation Association

**THEODORE ROOSEVELT IV, HONORARY CHAIR**

Barclays Capital

**KERRY SCHUMANN**

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

**LAURA TURNER SEYDEL**

Turner Foundation

**TRIP VAN NOPPEN**

Earthjustice

**KATHLEEN WELCH**

Corridor Partners

**ANTHA WILLIAMS**

Bloomberg Philanthropies

**REVEREND LENNOX YEARWOOD**

Hip Hop Caucus

## LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE \*

---

**BRENT BLACKWELDER**

Friends of the Earth

**THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER**

Center for American Progress

**DAVID GOLDSTON**

Natural Resources Defense Council

**RUTH HENNIG**

The John Merck Fund

**MARK MAGAÑA**

GreenLatinos

**REUBEN MUNGER**

Vision Ridge Partners, LLC

**KERRY SCHUMANN**

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

**TRIP VAN NOPPEN**

Earthjustice

## LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE \*

---

**ANNA AURILIO**

Environment America

**JOE BONFIGLIO**

Environmental Defense Fund

**KRISTEN BRENGEL**

National Parks Conservation Association

**ROBERT COWIN**

Union of Concerned Scientists

**ROBERT DEWEY**

Defenders of Wildlife

**MARTY HAYDEN**

Earthjustice

**ALLISON HAYS**

The Humane Society of the United States

**CRAIG LASHER**

Population Action International

**ERIK SCHNEIDER**

National Audubon Society

**MELINDA PIERCE**

Sierra Club

**ALAN ROWSOME**

The Wilderness Society

**JOSHUA SAKS**

National Wildlife Federation

**BEN SCHREIBER**

Friends of the Earth

**CINDY SHOGAN**

Alaska Wilderness League

**SCOTT SLESINGER**

Natural Resources Defense Council

\* Organizations are shown for identification purposes only



## CONTENTS

### 1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 2nd  
Session of the 114th  
Congress 3

Voting Summary 6

### 2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 10

Senate Votes 14

### 3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 19

House Votes 30

Cover images: Official White House Photo; Architect  
of the Capitol; flickr/usinterior (altered); Matt Roth;  
LCV Photo

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV works to turn environmental values into national, state and local priorities.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all members of the second session of the 114th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which members of Congress should be scored. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, climate change, public health, public lands and wildlife conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.









There is no doubt that 2016 will be remembered as a defining year in the fight to address climate change. Even as we experienced the hottest year on record—for the third year in a row—and world leaders came together on Earth Day to sign the historic climate agreement reached in Paris in December 2015, the extreme anti-environmental leadership in the U.S. House and Senate once again unleashed a breathtaking array of assaults on the environment and public health.

The 2016 *Scorecard* includes a record-breaking 38 votes in the U.S. House of Representatives, topping the previous high of 35 votes in the 2014 and 2015 *National Environmental Scorecards*, and reflecting that it remains the most anti-environmental U.S. House in history. As in recent years, these votes and those taken in the Senate left virtually no issue unscathed and included attacks on many of our cornerstone environmental laws, including the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Endangered Species Act, and the Antiquities Act. From working to block access to the courts to attacking individual species to trying to undermine clean energy advancements, the Republican-led Congress pulled out all the stops to push a polluter-friendly agenda.

While not included in the *Scorecard*, a couple of major bills relating to public health and environmental protections were signed into law in 2016. *The Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act* reformed the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and is the first major update to an environmental statute in 20 years. It provides the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) more authority to regulate toxic chemicals, but it also rolled back state authority to go above and beyond the federal government's efforts to restrict the uses of chemicals. The *Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WIIN) Act*

provided long-overdue funding to address the water and public health crisis for the families of Flint, Michigan, but it also undermined endangered species protections in California. Finally, it's also worth noting that while much time was spent in both the Senate and the House on comprehensive energy legislation, efforts to conference the Senate Energy Committee Chair Lisa Murkowski's (R-AK) and Ranking Member Maria Cantwell's (D-WA) compromise bill with the House's extreme pro-fossil fuel energy version, fell apart.

In a bit of positive news, 22 Republicans (14 in the House and eight in the Senate) scored over 25 percent this year, including three who scored 50 percent or higher, a significant increase from the past few *Scorecards*. By comparison, only six scored over 25 percent in 2015 and only four in 2014. Of course, we very much hope to see more Republicans continue to step up to protect our environmental safeguards in 2017. In additional good news, the number of true environmental champions in Congress continues to grow and become more vocal and effective than ever before. In April, over 200 current and former members of Congress, spanning 38 states, filed an amicus brief with the U.S. Supreme Court in support of the EPA's landmark Clean Power Plan. In July, 20 U.S. Senators led by Senator Sheldon Whitehouse (D-RI) and more than 15 U.S. Representatives led by Representative Ted Lieu (D-CA-33) expressed their disapproval of industrial climate denial

activities and exposed the polluter-funded “Web of Denial” in floor speeches and resolutions. In August, dozens of members of Congress marked the centennial of the National Park Service and joined LCV and the public to call for the protection of more special places.

The best news of all from 2016 was that President Obama made an incredible amount of progress in his final year, firmly cementing his legacy as the greenest president in our history. From the moratorium on new coal leasing on public lands to the first-ever limits on methane pollution from the oil and gas industry to guidance for NEPA to incorporate climate change to the final heavy-duty truck fuel efficiency standards to the agreement with nearly 200 countries to reduce super pollutant hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) under the Montreal Protocol, it was a banner year in the fight against climate change.

President Obama also protected additional important public lands and waters in 2016, including designating three national monuments in the California desert, and the Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument in Maine, as well as expanding the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument, now among the largest marine protected areas in the entire world. He also created the first-ever marine national monument in the Atlantic Ocean—the Northeast Canyons and Seamounts Marine National Monument—and designated the Bears Ears National Monument in Utah

and the Gold Butte National Monument in Nevada. In what should be the norm going forward, the president continued to protect places that are culturally and historically significant and that reflect the story of all Americans, such as the Belmont-Paul Women’s Equality National Monument honoring the struggle for women’s suffrage, and the Stonewall National Monument honoring the start of the LGBT rights movement. Following sustained protests by the Standing Rock Sioux, the Army Corps of Engineers denied the permit for the Dakota Access Pipeline, which would have threatened the tribe’s water supply and sacred lands. Finally, President Obama removed both the Atlantic and Arctic Oceans from the five-year offshore drilling plan and enacted an indefinite ban on drilling in virtually the entire Arctic Ocean and important parts of the Atlantic Ocean, preserving these precious and fragile ecosystems for generations to come.

As we begin 2017, the stakes for protecting the environment and public health have never been higher and the threats have never been greater. We must do more than ever to work with our allies in Congress—and mobilize the public—to fight the Trump administration and the extreme Congressional leadership who want to roll back our bedrock environmental laws and President Obama’s incredible progress. LCV pledges to be there every step of the way, working to combat the climate crisis and fighting to protect our air, water, lands, and wildlife.








# VOTING SUMMARY


## 2016 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	0	13
Alaska	27	3
Arizona	9	40
Arkansas	3	3
California	88	71
Colorado	65	43
Connecticut	100	99
Delaware	94	92
Florida	53	41
Georgia	9	24
Hawaii	100	100
Idaho	12	7
Illinois	68	57
Indiana	38	24
Iowa	3	27
Kansas	0	2
Kentucky	12	17
Louisiana	12	19
Maine	85	59
Maryland	97	85
Massachusetts	100	98
Michigan	100	39
Minnesota	100	50
Mississippi	18	24
Missouri	53	25
Montana	50	5
Nebraska	3	24
Nevada	62	27
New Hampshire	74	54
New Jersey	100	60
New Mexico	100	67
New York	100	72
North Carolina	21	24
North Dakota	33	3
Ohio	68	26
Oklahoma	9	1
Oregon	100	75
Pennsylvania	50	36
Rhode Island	100	100
South Carolina	15	16
South Dakota	3	0
Tennessee	21	21
Texas	3	26
Utah	9	1
Vermont	53	97
Virginia	94	28
Washington	100	61
West Virginia	36	1
Wisconsin	53	41
Wyoming	12	0

### SENATE



### HOUSE





## 2016 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

### Highest Senate Delegations:

Connecticut 100% · Hawaii 100% · Massachusetts 100% · Michigan 100% · Minnesota 100% · New Jersey 100% · New Mexico 100% · New York 100% · Oregon 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Washington 100%

### Senate Scores of 100:

**COLORADO** Bennet · **CONNECTICUT** Blumenthal · Murphy · **FLORIDA** Nelson · **HAWAII** Hirono · Schatz · **ILLINOIS** Durbin · **MARYLAND** Cardin · **MASSACHUSETTS** Markey · Warren · **MICHIGAN** Peters · Stabenow · **MINNESOTA** Franken · Klobuchar · **NEVADA** Reid · **NEW HAMPSHIRE** Shaheen · **NEW JERSEY** Booker · Menendez · **NEW MEXICO** Heinrich · Udall · **NEW YORK** Gillibrand · Schumer · **OHIO** Brown · **OREGON** Merkley · Wyden · **PENNSYLVANIA** Casey · **RHODE ISLAND** Reed · Whitehouse · **VERMONT** Leahy · **VIRGINIA** Kaine · **WASHINGTON** Cantwell · Murray · **WISCONSIN** Baldwin

### Lowest Senate Delegations:

Alabama 0% · Kansas 0% · Arkansas 2% · Iowa 3% · Nebraska 3% · South Dakota 3% · Texas 3%

### Senate Scores of 0:

**ALABAMA** Sessions · Shelby · **ARKANSAS** Cotton · **GEORGIA** Perdue · **INDIANA** Coats · **IOWA** Ernst · **KANSAS** Moran · Roberts · **NEBRASKA** Sasse · **PENNSYLVANIA** Toomey · **SOUTH CAROLINA** Scott · **SOUTH DAKOTA** Thune · **TENNESSEE** Corker · **TEXAS** Cruz

## 2016 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

### Highest House Delegations:

Hawaii 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Connecticut 99% · Massachusetts 98% · Vermont 97% · Delaware 92% · Maryland 85%

### House Scores of 100:

**CALIFORNIA** Huffman · Thompson, M. · McNerney · DeSaulnier · Pelosi · Lee, B. · Speier · Honda · Lofgren · Brownley · Schiff · Sherman · Aguilar · Torres · Ruiz · Roybal-Allard · Takano · Lowenthal · Vargas · Davis, S. · **COLORADO** DeGette · Polis · **CONNECTICUT** Larson · Courtney · Esty · **FLORIDA** Grayson · Castor · Deutch · Frankel · Wasserman Schultz · **GEORGIA** Johnson, H. · **HAWAII** Hanabusa · Gabbard · **ILLINOIS** Quigley · Foster · **INDIANA** Visclosky · **MARYLAND** Sarbanes · Delaney · Cummings · Van Hollen · **MASSACHUSETTS** Tsongas · Clark, K. · Moulton · Capuano · Lynch · **MICHIGAN** Kildee · Levin · Lawrence · **MINNESOTA** McCollum · **MISSOURI** Clay · **NEW JERSEY** Norcross · Pallone · Watson Coleman · **NEW MEXICO** Lujan Grisham · Luján · **NEW YORK** Israel · Meng · Velázquez · Clarke · Rangel · Lowey · Slaughter · Higgins · **NORTH CAROLINA** Price, D. · Adams · **OHIO** Beatty · Kaptur · Fudge · Ryan, T. · **OREGON** Bonamici · **PENNSYLVANIA** Evans · Boyle · Doyle · Cartwright · **RHODE ISLAND** Cicilline · Langevin · **TENNESSEE** Cohen · **TEXAS** Doggett · **VIRGINIA** Scott, R. · Beyer · Connolly · **WASHINGTON** Larsen · **WISCONSIN** Pocan

### Lowest House Delegations:

South Dakota 0% · Wyoming 0% · Oklahoma 1% · Utah 1% · West Virginia 1% · Kansas 2% · Alaska 3% · Arkansas 3% · North Dakota 3%

### House Scores of 0:

**ALABAMA** Byrne · Palmer · **ARKANSAS** Westerman · **ARIZONA** Gosar · Salmon · Schweikert · **CALIFORNIA** McClintock · Knight · Rohrabacher · Hunter · **COLORADO** Tipton · Buck · Lamborn · **FLORIDA** Mica · Webster · **GEORGIA** Carter, E.L. · Price, T. · Scott, A. · Collins, D. · Hice · Loudermilk · Allen · Graves, T. · **IDAHO** Labrador · **ILLINOIS** Hultgren · LaHood · **INDIANA** Stutzman · Rokita · **KANSAS** Huelskamp · Yoder · **KENTUCKY** Comer · Guthrie · Barr · **MARYLAND** Harris · **MINNESOTA** Emmer · **MISSISSIPPI** Kelly, T. · Harper · Palazzo · **MISSOURI** Luetkemeyer · Hartzler · Graves, S. · Long · Smith, J. · **NEBRASKA** Smith, Adrian · **NEW MEXICO** Pearce · **NEW YORK** Collins · **NORTH CAROLINA** Ellmers · Foxx · Walker · Rouzer · Hudson · Pittenger · Meadows · Holding · **OHIO** Chabot · Wenstrup · Jordan · Latta · Johnson, B. · Gibbs, B. · Davidson · Tiberi · Renacci · **OKLAHOMA** Bridenstine · Mullin · Lucas · Russell · **PENNSYLVANIA** Kelly · Perry · Rothfus · Pitts · **SOUTH CAROLINA** Wilson, J. · Duncan, Jeff · Gowdy · Mulvaney · Rice, T. · **SOUTH DAKOTA** Noem · **TENNESSEE** Roe · Duncan, John · DesJarlais · Black · Blackburn · Fincher · **TEXAS** Gohmert · Poe · Johnson, S. · Ratcliffe · Hensarling · Barton · Brady, K. · Conaway · Thornberry · Weber · Flores · Neugebauer · Smith, L. · Olson · Marchant · Williams · Burgess · Farenthold · Carter, J. · Sessions, P. · Babin · **UTAH** Bishop, R. · Stewart · Chaffetz · **VIRGINIA** Wittman · Forbes · Goodlatte · Brat · Griffith · **WASHINGTON** Newhouse · McMorris Rodgers · **WEST VIRGINIA** McKinley · Mooney · **WISCONSIN** Sensenbrenner · Grothman · Ribble · **WYOMING** Lummis

## RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

### SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Roberts (KS)	0	Stabenow (MI)	100
Appropriations	Cochran (MS)	24	Mikulski (MD)	94
Commerce, Science and Transportation	Thune (SD)	0	Nelson (FL)	100
Energy and Natural Resources	Murkowski (AK)	29	Cantwell (WA)	100
Environment and Public Works	Inhofe (OK)	12	Boxer (CA)	82
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	13	RANKING MEMBERS	95

### HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Conaway (TX-11)	0	Peterson (MN-07)	18
Appropriations	Rogers, Harold (KY-05)	5	Lowey (NY-17)	100
Energy and Commerce	Upton (MI-06)	13	Pallone (NJ-06)	100
Natural Resources	Bishop, Rob (UT-01)	0	Grijalva (AZ-03)	95
Science, Space, and Technology	Smith, Lamar (TX-21)	0	Johnson, Eddie Bernice (TX-30)	95
Transportation and Infrastructure	Shuster (PA-09)	3	DeFazio (OR-04)	95
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	4	RANKING MEMBERS	84

## PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

### SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Reid (NV), Minority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Majority Leader	12
Durbin (IL), Minority Whip	100	Cornyn (TX), Majority Whip	6
Schumer (NY), Conference Vice Chair	100	Thune (SD), Conference Chair	0
Murray (WA), Conference Secretary	100	Barrasso (WY), Policy Committee Chair	12
		Blunt (MO), Conference Vice Chair	24
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	100	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	11

### HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
		Ryan (WI-01), Speaker of the House*	N/A
Pelosi (CA-12), Minority Leader	100	McCarthy (CA-23), Majority Leader	3
Hoyer (MD-05), Minority Whip	92	Scalise (LA-01), Majority Whip	3
Clyburn (SC-06), Assistant Minority Leader	92	McMorris Rodgers (WA-05), Conference Chairman	0
Becerra (CA-34), Caucus Chairman	89	Messer (IN-06), Policy Committee Chairman	3
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	93	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	2

\* The Speaker of the House votes at his discretion.







# 2016 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## 1. EXTREME ATTACK ON CLEAN WATER PROTECTIONS (CRA)

Senator Joni Ernst (R-IA) sponsored S.J. Res. 22, a Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval,” which would void the Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Clean Water Rule that protects the small streams and wetlands that feed into the drinking water of 117 million people in this country. Not only would this radical legislative tool vacate the current rule, it would also prohibit the agencies from developing any “substantially similar” rule in the future, keeping the unworkable status quo in place. This could prevent the agencies from ever issuing rules that establish Clean Water Act protections for the waters covered by the Clean Water Rule, leaving our streams, wetlands, lakes, and rivers vulnerable to pollution for generations to come. On January 19, President Obama vetoed S.J. Res. 22. On January 21, the Senate rejected the motion to end debate and proceed to the S.J. Res. 22 veto override by a vote of 52-40 (60 votes were needed to invoke cloture; Senate roll call vote 5). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 2. CLEAN ENERGY FUNDING

Senator Brian Schatz (D-HI) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would increase funding for the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy, an important Department of Energy research program intended to spur transformational breakthroughs in energy technologies. Additional funding for this program could help the United States lead in the clean energy transformation. On January 28, the Senate approved the Schatz amendment by a vote of 55-37 (Senate roll call vote 9). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** S. 2012 passed the Senate and moved to conference with a House-passed energy bill, but did not become law.

## 3. NATIONAL MONUMENTS

Senator Mike Lee (R-UT) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would gut the Antiquities Act, the law first used to protect half of our national parks. The Antiquities Act has been used by 16 presidents of both parties to protect many of America’s most special places, from the Statue of Liberty to the Grand Canyon to the Pacific Remote Islands in Hawaii. On February 2, the Senate rejected the Lee amendment by a vote of 47-48 (Senate roll call vote 10). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 4. ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Senator Al Franken (D-MN) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would establish a national energy efficiency resource standard based on successful programs in Minnesota that require electricity and natural gas providers to meet annual targets for cutting energy use in homes and businesses. Energy efficiency saves consumers money while reducing the public health and environmental risks of pollution. This amendment would also send a clear market signal that would spur research and development of energy efficient technologies. On February 2, the Senate rejected the Franken amendment by a vote of 43-52 (Senate roll call vote 11). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**





## 5. FAST TRACKING NATURAL GAS PIPELINES

Senator John Barrasso (R-WY) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would set strict, arbitrary deadlines for the review of natural gas pipelines on federal and tribal lands. This amendment undermines the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) by promoting accelerated construction of pipelines and other components of natural gas production at the cost of the environmental reviews that NEPA established to identify serious safety and environmental risks. On February 2, the Senate rejected the Barrasso amendment by a vote of 52-43 (60 votes were needed for passage; Senate roll call vote 12). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 6. LIMITING PUBLIC SAFEGUARDS

Senator Dan Sullivan (R-AK) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would require federal agencies to eliminate a public protection with equal or greater compliance costs before adopting a new one. The Sullivan amendment adopts an extreme and unwise “cut-go” approach to rulemaking, drastically limiting the government’s ability to quickly respond to emerging public health threats and catastrophes like the drinking water crisis that unfolded in Flint, Michigan. On February 2, the Senate rejected the Sullivan amendment by a vote of 49-46 (Senate roll call vote 13). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 7. TAXPAYER HANDOUTS FOR FOSSIL FUEL COMPANIES

Senator Brian Schatz (D-HI) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would phase out fossil fuel subsidies for coal and some of the largest producers of oil and gas over a four-year period, the same time period over which Congress recently decided to phase out tax credits for solar and wind. The fossil fuel subsidies phased out by this amendment represent billions of dollars of taxpayer handouts for a mature and highly-polluting industry. On February 2, the Senate rejected the Schatz amendment by a vote of 45-50 (Senate roll call vote 14). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 8. GENETICALLY MODIFIED FOOD LABELING

Senator Pat Roberts (R-KS) introduced S. 2609, a bill to amend the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946, also known as the Denying Americans the Right to Know (DARK) Act, which would prevent states from requiring the labeling of genetically modified organisms (GMOs). In addition, the bill would make it harder for companies to inform customers about their ingredients, strip the Food and Drug Administration of its jurisdiction over GMO food disclosures, and force the Department of Agriculture to spend resources promoting biotechnology to consumers. The majority of people in the United States increasingly want to know more about where their food comes from, not less. But instead, this bill’s sweeping preemption of state and local authority is an attack on consumer choice and state efforts to protect human health and the environment. Senator Roberts’ bill was added to S. 764, but on March 16, the Senate rejected the DARK Act by a vote of 48-49 (Senate roll call vote 37). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** S. 764 was later amended and passed by both chambers and signed into law by President Obama on July 29.



## 9. ENCOURAGING ENERGY-EFFICIENT HOMES

Senator Johnny Isakson (R-GA) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would reform the mortgage market to incentivize energy efficiency by ensuring that energy costs are included in the underwriting process. More efficient homes save money for homeowners while reducing carbon pollution. On April 19, the Senate approved the Isakson amendment by a vote of 66-31 (Senate roll call vote 49). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** S. 2012 passed the Senate and moved to conference with a House-passed energy bill, but did not become law.

## 10. LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND (LWCF)

Senator James Lankford (R-OK) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would divert money away from the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) in order to address maintenance projects in our national parks. This amendment would restrict spending from LWCF's statutorily-directed purpose in order to address the National Park Service's deferred maintenance backlog. On April 19, the Senate rejected the Lankford amendment by a vote of 34-63 (Senate roll call vote 50). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 11. WIND ENERGY TRANSMISSION LINE

Senator John Boozman (R-AR) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would require additional studies before proceeding with the construction of a 700-mile electricity transmission project that would bring wind energy from the Great Plains to the southeast. The project, known as the Plains and Eastern Clean Line project, would provide much-needed transmission capacity to our outdated grid and has already been approved under a process created by the Energy Policy Act of 2005. On April 19, the Senate rejected the Boozman amendment by a vote of 42-55 (Senate roll call vote 51). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 12. VICTORY BONDS FOR CLEAN ENERGY

Senator Tom Udall (D-NM) offered an amendment to S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which would require the secretary of the Treasury to develop a plan to issue Clean Energy Victory Bonds. These bonds would aim to raise as much as \$50 billion and would be used to finance clean energy projects, including wind, solar, advanced vehicles, and fuel cells. Rapid deployment of clean energy technology is the best way to fight climate change. On April 19, the Senate rejected the Udall amendment by a vote of 50-47 (60 votes were needed for passage; Senate roll call vote 52). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 13. ATTACK ON THE CLEAN WATER RULE

Senator John Hoeven (R-ND) offered an amendment to H.R. 2028, the Further Continuing and Security Assistance Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit the use of funds for implementation of the Environmental Protection Agency and Army Corps of Engineers' Clean Water Rule, which protects the small streams and wetlands that feed into the drinking water of 117 million people in the United States. On April 21, the Senate rejected the Hoeven amendment by a vote of 56-42 (60 votes were needed for passage; Senate roll call vote 57). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**





#### 14. ATTACK ON ADVANCED VEHICLES

Senator Dan Coats (R-IN) offered an amendment to H.R. 2028, the Further Continuing and Security Assistance Appropriations Act, 2017, which would ban the use of funds for the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing loan program. The loan program has successfully promoted innovation in the market for low carbon vehicles, supporting early efforts by companies like Tesla Motors. On April 21, the Senate rejected the Coats amendment by a vote of 48-49 (Senate roll call vote 58). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

#### 15. WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Senator Harry Reid (D-NV) offered an amendment to H.R. 2028, the Further Continuing and Security Assistance Appropriations Act, 2017, which would create a pilot program for water conservation in the Colorado River system. With climate change fueling droughts across the western United States, a new approach to water use in the Colorado basin is badly needed. On April 26, the Senate approved the Reid amendment by a vote of 73-23 (Senate roll call vote 62). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** H.R. 2028 was signed into law by President Obama on December 10.

#### 16. MITIGATING IMPACTS ON FISH & WILDLIFE

Senator Ben Cardin (D-MD) offered an amendment to H.R. 2028, the Further Continuing and Security Assistance Appropriations Act, 2017, which would require the Secretary of the Army to use federal and state expertise to mitigate the fish and wildlife impacts of the Army Corps of Engineers' projects. This amendment clarifies requirements in the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1958 to ensure that federal agencies give full consideration to wildlife impact analyses from the Fish and Wildlife Service and state agencies. On May 11, the Senate rejected the Cardin amendment by a vote of 39-60 (Senate roll call vote 69). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

#### 17. REVENUE SHARING FOR OFFSHORE DRILLING

Senator Bill Cassidy (R-LA) sponsored S. 3110, the American Energy and Conservation Act of 2016, which would expand revenue sharing from offshore drilling on the Outer Continental Shelf, which creates incentives for additional offshore drilling that damages our climate and coastal communities, businesses, and ecosystems. Offshore drilling inevitably leads to oil spills, which puts at risk coastal communities' robust tourism and commercial and recreational fishing industries, as well as critically important wildlife, while impeding America's transition to clean energy. Revenue sharing also siphons revenues away from the Treasury and directs them to a handful of states at a time when we are struggling to address our nation's fiscal challenges. On November 17, the Senate rejected a motion to end debate and proceed to S. 3110 by a vote of 51-47 (60 votes were needed to end debate; Senate roll call vote 153). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

# SENATE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																			
		% 2016	LCV SCORES																		
		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	LCV SCORES																
		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Clean Energy Funding	National Monuments	Energy Efficiency	Fast Tracking Natural Gas Pipelines	Limiting Public Safeguards	Taxpayer Handouts for Fossil Fuel Companies	Genetically Modified Food Labeling	Encouraging Energy-Efficient Homes	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Wind Energy Transmission Line	Victory Bonds for Clean Energy	Attack on the Clean Water Rule	Attack on Advanced Vehicles	Water Conservation Program	Mitigating Impacts on Fish & Wildlife	Revenue Sharing for Offshore Drilling
KEY																					
✓ = Pro-environment action																					
✗ = Anti-environment action																					
⓪ = Ineligible to vote																					
? = Absence (counts as negative)																					
ALABAMA																					
Sessions, J.	R	0	2	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
Shelby	R	0	2	14	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ALASKA																					
Murkowski	R	29	14	19	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Sullivan	R	24	10	10	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
ARIZONA																					
Flake	R	6	2	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
McCain	R	12	7	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
ARKANSAS																					
Boozman	R	6	2	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Cotton	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
CALIFORNIA																					
Boxer	D	82	93	91	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
Feinstein	D	94	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
COLORADO																					
Bennet	D	100	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Gardner	R	29	21	11	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
CONNECTICUT																					
Blumenthal	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murphy, C.	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DELAWARE																					
Carper	D	94	88	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Coons	D	94	93	93	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
FLORIDA																					
Nelson	D	100	90	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rubio*	R	6	2	6	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
GEORGIA																					
Isakson	R	18	7	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Perdue	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Senator Rubio missed a number of votes while campaigning for U.S. president.



**KEY**

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																			
		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	➤ Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	➤ Clean Energy Funding	➤ National Monuments	➤ Energy Efficiency	➤ Fast Tracking Natural Gas Pipelines	➤ Limiting Public Safeguards	➤ Taxpayer Handouts for Fossil Fuel Companies	➤ Genetically Modified Food Labeling	➤ Encouraging Energy-Efficient Homes	➤ Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	➤ Wind Energy Transmission Line	➤ Victory Bonds for Clean Energy	➤ Attack on the Clean Water Rule	➤ Attack on Advanced Vehicles	➤ Water Conservation Program	➤ Mitigating Impacts on Fish & Wildlife	➤ Revenue Sharing for Offshore Drilling
KEY																					
✔ = Pro-environment action																					
✖ = Anti-environment action																					
⓪ = Ineligible to vote																					
? = Absence (counts as negative)																					
HAWAII																					
Hirono	D	100	100	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Schatz	D	100	98	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
IDAHO																					
Crapo	R	12	5	7	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
Risch	R	12	5	9	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
ILLINOIS																					
Durbin	D	100	100	87	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Kirk	R	35	38	55	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
INDIANA																					
Coats	R	0	0	22	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
Donnelly	D	76	71	58	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔
IOWA																					
Ernst	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
Grassley	R	6	5	19	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖
KANSAS																					
Moran	R	0	0	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
Roberts	R	0	0	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
KENTUCKY																					
McConnell	R	12	5	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖
Paul	R	12	10	9	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔
LOUISIANA																					
Cassidy	R	18	7	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
Vitter	R	6	2	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
MAINE																					
Collins	R	76	67	65	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔
King, A.	I	94	98	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔
MARYLAND																					
Cardin	D	100	98	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Mikulski	D	94	95	85	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

# SENATE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	2 Clean Energy Funding	3 National Monuments	4 Energy Efficiency	5 Fast Tracking Natural Gas Pipelines	6 Limiting Public Safeguards	7 Taxpayer Handouts for Fossil Fuel Companies	8 Genetically Modified Food Labeling	9 Encouraging Energy-Efficient Homes	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	11 Wind Energy Transmission Line	12 Victory Bonds for Clean Energy	13 Attack on the Clean Water Rule	14 Attack on Advanced Vehicles	15 Water Conservation Program	16 Mitigating Impacts on Fish & Wildlife	17 Revenue Sharing for Offshore Drilling
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>																					
Markey	D	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Warren	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>MICHIGAN</b>																					
Peters, G.	D	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Stabenow	D	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>MINNESOTA</b>																					
Franken	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Klobuchar	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>																					
Cochran	R	24	10	10	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Wicker	R	12	5	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
<b>MISSOURI</b>																					
Blunt	R	24	12	5	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗
McCaskill	D	82	79	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
<b>MONTANA</b>																					
Daines	R	12	5	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Tester	D	88	86	86	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
<b>NEBRASKA</b>																					
Fischer	R	6	2	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Sasse	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>NEVADA</b>																					
Heller	R	24	14	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
Reid	D	100	100	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>																					
Ayotte	R	47	52	38	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
Shaheen	D	100	98	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>																					
Booker	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓



**KEY**

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																			
		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	2 Clean Energy Funding	3 National Monuments	4 Energy Efficiency	5 Fast Tracking Natural Gas Pipelines	6 Limiting Public Safeguards	7 Taxpayer Handouts for Fossil Fuel Companies	8 Genetically Modified Food Labeling	9 Encouraging Energy-Efficient Homes	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	11 Wind Energy Transmission Line	12 Victory Bonds for Clean Energy	13 Attack on the Clean Water Rule	14 Attack on Advanced Vehicles	15 Water Conservation Program	16 Mitigating Impacts on Fish & Wildlife	17 Revenue Sharing for Offshore Drilling
KEY																					
✓ = Pro-environment action																					
✗ = Anti-environment action																					
① = Ineligible to vote																					
? = Absence (counts as negative)																					
NEW MEXICO																					
Heinrich	D	100	98	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Udall	D	100	95	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW YORK																					
Gillibrand	D	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Schumer	D	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH CAROLINA																					
Burr	R	24	12	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
Tillis	R	18	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NORTH DAKOTA																					
Heitkamp	D	53	45	50	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
Hoeven	R	12	5	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OHIO																					
Brown, S.	D	100	98	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Portman	R	35	19	21	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
OKLAHOMA																					
Inhofe	R	12	5	5	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Lankford	R	6	5	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON																					
Merkley	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wyden	D	100	95	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA																					
Casey	D	100	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Toomey	R	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND																					
Reed, J.	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Whitehouse	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA																					
Graham, L.	R	29	19	13	✗	✓	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Scott, T.	R	0	0	3	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

# SENATE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

		% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	2 Clean Energy Funding	3 National Monuments	4 Energy Efficiency	5 Fast Tracking Natural Gas Pipelines	6 Limiting Public Safeguards	7 Taxpayer Handouts for Fossil Fuel Companies	8 Genetically Modified Food Labeling	9 Encouraging Energy-Efficient Homes	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	11 Wind Energy Transmission Line	12 Victory Bonds for Clean Energy	13 Attack on the Clean Water Rule	14 Attack on Advanced Vehicles	15 Water Conservation Program	16 Mitigating Impacts on Fish & Wildlife	17 Revenue Sharing for Offshore Drilling
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>																					
Rounds	R	6	2	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Thune	R	0	2	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>TENNESSEE</b>																					
Alexander	R	41	31	22	?	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
Corker	R	0	2	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>TEXAS</b>																					
Cornyn	R	6	2	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Cruz*	R	0	0	3	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗
<b>UTAH</b>																					
Hatch	R	12	5	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Lee, M.	R	6	5	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>VERMONT</b>																					
Leahy	D	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sanders**	I	6	62	91	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓
<b>VIRGINIA</b>																					
Kaine	D	100	93	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Warner	D	88	83	86	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
<b>WASHINGTON</b>																					
Cantwell	D	100	98	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murray	D	100	98	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>																					
Capito	R	24	12	18	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Manchin	D	47	43	44	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
<b>WISCONSIN</b>																					
Baldwin	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Johnson, R.	R	6	2	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>WYOMING</b>																					
Barrasso	R	12	5	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Enzi	R	12	5	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗

\* Senator Cruz missed a number of votes while campaigning for U.S. president.

\*\* Senator Sanders missed a number of votes while campaigning for U.S. president.



# 2016 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## 1. DELAYING THE RESOLUTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL COURT CASES

Representative Doug Collins (R-GA) sponsored H.R. 712, the Sunshine for Regulatory Decrees and Settlements Act of 2015, which would weaken environmental protections by undermining the federal rulemaking process and citizen enforcement of federal laws. H.R. 712 prescribes a host of burdensome and sometimes ambiguous steps for courts and parties that would favor continued litigation over settlement. This bill would delay and obstruct the resolution of environmental protection cases before federal courts. On January 7, the House approved H.R. 712 by a vote of 244-173 (House roll call vote 12). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 2. MINING WASTE


Representative Alexander Mooney (R-WV) sponsored H.R. 1644, the Supporting Transparent Regulatory and Environmental Actions in Mining (STREAM) Act, a disastrous bill that would block proposed safeguards that aim to reduce the health and environmental impacts of destructive coal mining practices. H.R. 1644 would stop or at least seriously delay the finalization of the Department of Interior's proposed Stream Protection Rule, which will better protect mining communities whose drinking water is currently at risk of contamination from inappropriate dumping of toxic mining pollution. As one of the most damaging industrial activities in our nation, mountaintop removal mining has destroyed more than 2,000 miles of streams and over 500 mountains in Central Appalachia while also jeopardizing the health of nearby communities. The Stream Protection Rule will finally update federal rules that fall woefully short of preventing serious harm to the communities living near coal mining operations. On January 12, the House approved H.R. 1644 by a vote of 235-188 (House roll call vote 42). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 3. EXTREME ATTACK ON CLEAN WATER PROTECTIONS (CRA)

Senator Joni Ernst (R-IA) sponsored S.J. Res. 22, a Congressional Review Act "Resolution of Disapproval," which would void the Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Clean Water Rule that protects the small streams and wetlands that feed into the drinking water of 117 million people in this country. Not only would this obscure and radical legislative tool vacate the current rule, it would also prohibit the agencies from developing any "substantially similar" rule in the future, keeping the unworkable status quo in place. This could prevent the agencies from ever issuing rules that establish Clean Water Act protections for the waters covered by the Clean Water Rule, leaving our streams, wetlands, lakes, and rivers vulnerable to pollution for generations to come. On January 13, the House approved S.J. Res. 22 by a vote of 253-166 (House roll call vote 45). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** S.J. Res. 22 was vetoed by President Obama on January 20.

## 4. FEDERAL CONTROL OVER FEDERAL WATERS

Representative Don Beyer (D-VA) offered an amendment to H.R. 2406, the Sportsmen's Heritage and Recreational Enhancement Act of 2015, which would strike the bill's requirement that the Interior and Commerce secretaries consult with state fish and game agencies before restricting fishing in marine national parks and other federally managed waters. Marine national parks, marine sanctuaries, and other federally managed waters are managed for the benefit of all people in the United States and



should not be subject to veto power by state agencies. On February 26, the House rejected the Beyer amendment by a vote of 169-236 (House roll call vote 94). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** H.R. 2406 passed the House on February 26, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 5. ARCTIC NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Representative Jared Huffman (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 2406, the Sportsmen's Heritage and Recreational Enhancement Act of 2015, which would grant the highest level of protection—a wilderness designation—for the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Following extensive scientific study and public input, the Fish and Wildlife Service released a Comprehensive Conservation Plan in 2015, which recommended that the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge should receive the highest level of protection to preserve the land's unparalleled wild character. This amendment would ensure that this majestic landscape would remain untouched by industrialization and drilling operations, thus protecting a landscape that is home to large populations of caribou, polar bears and more than 135 species of migratory birds. On February 26, the House rejected the Huffman amendment by a vote of 176-227 (House roll call vote 99). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 6. UNDERMINING PUBLIC LANDS AND WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT

Representative Rob Wittman (R-VA) sponsored H.R. 2406, the Sportsmen's Heritage and Recreational Enhancement Act of 2015, which contains harmful measures undermining the National Environmental Policy Act, the Wilderness Act, and other bedrock environmental laws. The bill includes language that could allow the use of motorized vehicles, road construction, and other forms of development within protected wilderness areas, and it blocks input from public stakeholders in National Wildlife Refuge management decisions. This legislation also includes provisions that would weaken the Environmental Protection Agency's ability to regulate toxic lead in ammunition and fishing equipment. Additionally, this bill would undermine international commitments to combat ivory trafficking, thwart our ability to effectively manage marine resources, and cut the public out of management decisions impacting hundreds of millions of acres of public lands. On February 26, the House approved H.R. 2406 by a vote of 242-161 (House roll call vote 101). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 7. ATTACK ON CLEAN AIR PROTECTIONS

Representative Keith Rothfus (R-PA) sponsored H.R. 3797, the Satisfying Energy Needs and Saving the Environment (SENSE) Act, which would weaken clean air protections that safeguard our air from coal refuse burned by power plants. The SENSE Act would weaken the Cross State Air Pollution Rule by replacing the state emissions reductions rules with federal rules that allow waste coal burning power plants to pollute in excess of current law, damaging public health in the surrounding areas and far downwind. H.R. 3797 would also weaken the Environmental Protection Agency's Mercury and Air Toxics standards, which are successful safeguards that are designed to reduce the pollution associated with dangerous compounds like sulfur dioxide and hydrogen chloride, both of which increase the risk of asthma attacks and other serious health impacts, including premature deaths. On March 15, the House approved H.R. 3797 by a vote of 231-183 (House roll call vote 123). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.





## 8. PESTICIDES POLLUTION

Representative Bob Gibbs (R-OH) sponsored H.R. 897, the Zika Vector Control Act, which would undermine the Clean Water Act by preventing the Environmental Protection Agency from protecting waterways from the direct application of pesticides that can contaminate drinking water, harm aquatic species, and negatively impact the food chain. This bill would render ineffective the Clean Water Act pesticide general permit, which took effect in 2011 and laid out commonsense practices for applying pesticides directly to waterways. Additionally, the permit already allows for emergency spraying to combat vector-borne diseases such as Zika. On May 17, the House rejected H.R. 897 under suspension of the rules by a vote of 262-159 (A 2/3 vote was needed for passage; House roll call vote 199). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** H.R. 897 passed the House on May 24.

## 9. FOSSIL ENERGY BILL


Senator Lisa Murkowski (R-AK) sponsored S. 2012, the Senate's Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015, which the House amended with a bill that would promote devastating logging projects, curtail National Environmental Policy Act reviews as well as state, local, and tribal authority over projects on their own lands, and allow pipelines to be built on National Park land without the necessary environmental reviews. The House version of S. 2012 locks in dirty fossil energy for decades to come at a time when we should be investing in cleaner, cheaper alternatives. On May 25, the House passed S. 2012 by a vote of 241-178 (House roll call vote 250). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** S. 2012 moved to conference committee, but did not become law.

## 10. NATIONAL OCEAN POLICY

Representative Sam Farr (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5055, the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would have struck a damaging policy rider that prohibited funds from being used to further implement marine planning efforts and the ecosystem-based management components of the National Ocean Policy. The National Ocean Policy directs government agencies with differing mandates to work collaboratively to improve ocean health and also ensures that all competing interests—including conservationists, fishermen, scientists, shipping companies, port managers, energy developers, and those who live and work in ocean communities—have a voice in creating solutions for the many problems facing our oceans. On May 25, the House rejected the Farr amendment by a vote of 189-228 (House roll call vote 253). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 11. LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND (LWCF)

Representative Raúl Grijalva (D-AZ) sponsored a Motion to Instruct Conferees who were named to the House and Senate conference committee for S. 2012, the Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015. This non-binding resolution instructed conferees to accept Section 5002 of the Energy Policy and Modernization Act—a permanent authorization of the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) with a minimum of 40 percent of appropriated funds dedicated to state projects and 40 percent dedicated to federal projects—for inclusion in the final conference report. Section 5002 also requires federal agencies to take into account management efficiencies, cost savings, urgency of proposed acquisitions, and



other factors when choosing projects and dedicates 1.5 percent of the fund to increasing sportsmen's access on public lands. LWCF is America's premier conservation program and has helped increase park access and protect public lands in nearly every county in the nation for over 50 years. On May 25, the House rejected the Motion to Instruct Conferees by a vote of 205-212 (House roll call vote 264). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** S. 2012 did not become law.

## **12. FRACKING CLEAN AIR LOOPHOLE**

Representative Jared Polis (D-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 4775, the Ozone Standards Implementation Act of 2016, which would close loopholes in the Clean Air Act that allow the oil and gas industry to release dangerous amounts of air pollution. Other industries are required to limit their releases of toxic air pollutants like volatile organic compounds and smog-inducing nitrogen oxides, but oil and gas companies lobbied for and won an exemption that allows them to continue endangering public health. The amendment also adds hydrogen sulfide, a harmful, potentially fatal pollutant mainly released during oil and gas extraction, to the Clean Air Act's list of hazardous pollutants. On June 8, the House rejected the Polis amendment 160-251 (House roll call vote 279). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## **13. ATTACK ON THE CLEAN AIR ACT AND SMOG PROTECTIONS**

Representative Pete Olson (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 4775, the Ozone Standards Implementation Act of 2016, which would jeopardize the health of people in the United States by undermining the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) recently-updated standards for ozone pollution, also known as smog. This legislation would delay the implementation of these vital health protections by at least ten years and double the Clean Air Act's current five-year review periods for updating all national air quality standards, thereby allowing unhealthy air to persist even longer. H.R. 4775 would also eviscerate a central pillar of the Clean Air Act that requires the EPA to rely solely on the best-available health science when setting air quality standards, forcing the agency to consider factors unrelated to health, like technical feasibility, in the initial standard setting process. On June 8, the House passed H.R. 4775 by a vote of 234-177 (House roll call vote 282). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.


## **14. MILITARY RESILIENCE TO CLIMATE CHANGE**

Representative Ken Buck (R-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 5293, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit the U.S. military from continuing to research and prepare for the effects climate change will have on military installations. On June 16, the House approved the Buck amendment by a vote of 216-205 (House roll call vote 314). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** H.R. 5293 failed cloture in the Senate on September 6.

## **15. METHANE POLLUTION FROM DRILLING ON PUBLIC LANDS**

Representative Jared Huffman (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would remove a provision preventing the Bureau of Land Management from finalizing its Methane and Waste Prevention Rule. This important rule will reduce dangerous methane pollution, a climate super-pollutant that is





vented, flared, and leaked from oil and gas industry operations on our public lands. Reducing natural gas waste from public lands will not only benefit the climate, but it will also reduce toxic air pollutants that are jeopardizing the health of nearby communities and ensure taxpayers receive a fair return on their resources. On July 12, the House rejected the Huffman amendment by a vote of 184-240 (House roll call vote 422). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 16. PROTECTING OUR FORESTS

Representative Debbie Dingell (D-MI) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would strike the harmful language that wholly exempt a broad range of potentially damaging logging activities in our National Forest System from public accountability and other National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements. Damaging our national forest resources harms both the public and the economic benefits that our federal forests provide for all people in this country, including clean drinking water, outstanding recreational opportunities, and fish and wildlife habitat, which also supports more jobs and economic output than other activities in the National Forest System. On July 12, the House rejected the Dingell amendment by a vote of 170-256 (House roll call vote 428). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 17. LEAD POISONING SAFEGUARDS

Representative Matt Cartwright (D-PA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would strike a rider from the bill that delayed the Environmental Protection Agency's Lead Renovation, Repair and Painting Program, which requires the use of safe practices and actions aimed at preventing lead poisoning in homes and elsewhere. On July 12, the House rejected the Cartwright amendment by a vote of 195-231 (House roll call vote 429). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 18. CARBON POLLUTION

On behalf of House Energy and Commerce Committee Ranking Member Frank Pallone (D-NJ), Congressman Scott Peters (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would have struck damaging language in the bill that blocked implementation of the Environmental Protection Agency's Clean Power Plan, including termination of any assistance to states that have asked for help developing sensible state policies. The Clean Power Plan establishes the first national carbon pollution limits for new and existing power plants and is the single biggest step our country has ever taken to tackle climate change. Communities across the United States are already suffering from the devastating impacts of climate change, such as more frequent and severe weather events like droughts, wildfires, floods, and storms, and unchecked climate change also threatens public health. On July 12, the House rejected the Pallone amendment by a vote of 182-244 (House roll call vote 431). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.



## 19. RECOGNIZING THE COST OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Representative Scott Peters (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would strike a provision in the bill that would recklessly eliminate any consideration of the *Social Cost of Carbon*, which is an analysis of the real economic impacts, positive or negative, of the carbon pollution of a project or proposed rule. *The Social Cost of Carbon* is a critical tool that helps the public and decision makers understand the true benefits and costs of a project and the possible ways to mitigate negative impacts. Requiring an environmental review that prohibits the consideration of climate impacts institutionalizes climate denial into the federal permitting process and forces ill-informed decisions, putting critical infrastructure, taxpayer dollars, and local communities' health at risk. On July 12, the House rejected the Peters amendment by a vote of 185-241 (House roll call vote 432). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 20. PROTECTING FARMWORKERS FROM DANGEROUS PESTICIDES


Representative Raúl Grijalva (D-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would strike a rider in the bill preventing the Environmental Protection Agency from enforcing the recently updated Agricultural Worker Protection Standard. This important set of revisions will finally give farmworkers the same protections as workers in other industries and better protect them from pesticide poisoning while ensuring their right to a designated representative to request information. The health of farmworkers, their families, and their communities are disproportionately jeopardized and impacted by toxic pesticides, and these critical new safeguards are long overdue. On July 13, the House rejected the Grijalva amendment by a vote of 177-249 (House roll call vote 433). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 21. METHANE POLLUTION SAFEGUARDS

Representative Jared Polis (D-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would strike a rider in the underlying bill that prevents the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from implementing its recently finalized methane pollution standards, the first-ever limits on methane pollution from new and modified sources in the oil and gas industry. The EPA's methane standard for new and modified sources requires low-cost, proven safeguards that are critical to reducing methane's contributions to climate change, with climate benefits of \$170 million by 2025, while also curbing toxic air pollutants that contribute to smog and jeopardize the health of nearby communities. On July 13, the House rejected the Polis amendment by a vote of 187-240 (House roll call vote 434). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 22. CALIFORNIA WATER RESOURCES

Representative Jerry McNerney (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would remove ideological riders that would weaken protections for fish, birds, and other wildlife in California's Bay-Delta. These provisions would undermine the Endangered Species Act, jeopardizing populations of salmon



and other local fisheries, they would threaten the habitat of millions of birds by undermining the water rights of wildlife refuges, and they would put at risk thousands of jobs that rely on a healthy Bay-Delta. The rider would also have ramifications across other western states, including reducing public input and review of water projects, lowering funding for the Bureau of Reclamation, and limiting states' and the federal government's ability to manage and protect water resources. These dangerous provisions prioritize the interests of large agribusinesses and use California's drought as an excuse to weaken federal and state environmental laws. On July 13, the House rejected the McNerney amendment by a vote of 181-248 (House roll call vote 436). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

### 23. NATIONAL MONUMENTS

Representative Raúl Grijalva (D-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would have struck damaging anti-parks language in the bill that prohibited the president from designating national monuments on over 160 million acres of our public lands. The Antiquities Act provided initial protections for nearly half of America's national parks—including the Grand Canyon, Acadia, Muir Woods, and Olympic National Parks—and this amendment ensures that more special places can be protected for future generations. On July 13, the House rejected the Grijalva amendment by a vote of 202-225 (House roll call vote 437). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

### 24. OFFSHORE DRILLING IN THE EASTERN GULF OF MEXICO

Representative Gwen Graham (D-FL) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit the use of funds for the research, investigation, or study of offshore drilling in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico, an area currently off-limits to drilling that attracts millions of tourists each year and is also home to many species of wildlife. On July 13, the House rejected the Graham amendment by a vote of 185-243 (House roll call vote 447). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

### 25. ATTACK ON THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Representative Doug Lamborn (R-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would bar the listing, under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), of any species that has not undergone a periodic five-year review, an attack that would dramatically undermine protections for endangered species. The relevant agencies are often unable to complete these reviews on time due to lack of funding or because of competing priorities. This amendment would leave many species in a state of limbo, because they would keep their ESA status, but all federal funding for recovery efforts, law enforcement efforts, and consultations would be prohibited. On July 13, the House approved the Lamborn amendment by a vote of 238-190 (House roll call vote 449). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.





## 26. GRAY WOLVES

Representative Dan Newhouse (R-WA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit the listing of the Gray Wolf as endangered in the lower 48 states. This amendment would jeopardize the tenuous recovery of a keystone predator, with negative consequences at every level of the ecosystem. On July 13, the House approved the Newhouse amendment by a vote of 223-201 (House roll call vote 452). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 27. EPA LAW ENFORCEMENT

Representative Gary Palmer (R-AL) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit the use of funds for the Environmental Protection Agency's law enforcement and criminal investigations division, a move that would make it harder to hold polluters accountable for committing environmental crimes. On July 13, the House rejected the Palmer amendment by a vote of 195-223 (House roll call vote 453). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

## 28. ATTACK ON ENVIRONMENTAL COURT ACCESS

Representative Jason Smith (R-MO) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit funds from being used to pay legal fees for settlements in Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, or Endangered Species Act cases. Congress long ago recognized that the government needs citizens to be partners in enforcing America's laws, including environmental protection laws, and this principle is enshrined in numerous federal laws that provide reasonable fee recovery for successful plaintiffs. This amendment would alter this principle by prohibiting payment of citizens' legal fees when parties avoid costly litigation by agreeing to a settlement. Failing to pay citizens' legal fees would make it harder for all but the wealthiest Americans and corporations to hold violators of America's environmental laws accountable through legal channels. On July 13, the House approved the Smith amendment by a vote of 226-202. (House roll call vote 459). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

## 29. ARCTIC OCEAN DRILLING

Representative Don Young (R-AK) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would block the removal of three proposed Arctic Ocean lease sales that were contained in the federal government's draft 2017-2022 offshore drilling leasing plan. The Arctic Ocean is ecologically rich, fragile, unforgiving, and remote. Furthermore, the region lacks the infrastructure to contain or clean up an oil spill. This amendment, by attempting to keep portions of the Arctic Ocean open for leasing, would put this important place at risk of an oil spill and make climate change worse. On July 13, the House approved the Young amendment by a vote of 242-185 (House roll call vote 461). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.



### 30. MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENTS

Representative Lee Zeldin (R-NY) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would block any president from permanently protecting new marine national monuments anywhere in the United States' Exclusive Economic Zone. This overly broad provision would block the potential of future protections for over 4.5 million square miles of U.S. waters, hamstringing our country's future contributions to global ocean conservation. On July 13, the House approved the Zeldin amendment by a vote of 225-202 (House roll call vote 462). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

### 31. ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT RIDERS


Representative Don Beyer (D-VA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would have removed three damaging policy riders in the bill. These riders would undermine Endangered Species Act protections for the gray wolf, the greater sage-grouse, and the lesser prairie-chicken. This amendment would also block language in the bill that undermines a historic conservation agreement for the greater sage-grouse and would effectively give states control over 60 million acres of America's shared public lands. On July 13, the House rejected the Beyer amendment by a vote of 193-235 (House roll call vote 463). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

### 32. BLOCKING PROTECTIONS FOR CLEAN WATER

Representative Don Beyer (D-VA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would strike four anti-clean water provisions in the underlying bill. These riders attack fundamental pollution safeguards for our waterways, including blocking the Department of the Interior's final Stream Protection Rule, which will better protect the drinking water of communities living in the shadows of coal mining operations, preventing the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from updating the definition of "fill material" under the Clean Water Act, which would prevent mountaintop mining operators from filling valley streams with mining waste, expanding exemptions under the Clean Water Act that would allow more dumping of pollution into waterways, and blocking the EPA and the Army Corps of Engineers' Clean Water Rule, which protects the small streams and wetlands that feed into the drinking water of one in three people in the United States. On July 14, the House rejected the Beyer amendment by a vote of 178-246 (House roll call vote 468). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

### 33. CLEAN ENERGY & PUBLIC LANDS

Representative Alan Lowenthal (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit using funds in contravention of a Department of Interior order calling for the development of clean energy on public lands. Public lands represent a significant resource for wind and solar development, which we must expand to fight climate change. On July 14, the House rejected the Lowenthal amendment



by a vote of 192-233 (House roll call vote 471). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

#### **34. SAGE GROUSE CONSERVATION**

Representative Niki Tsongas (D-MA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5538, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, which would prohibit the Bureau of Land Management's management plans from taking effect if they limit the bureau's ability to meet multiple use obligations, a measure that would protect plans to conserve the threatened sage grouse's habitat across the western United States. On July 14, the House rejected the Tsongas amendment by a vote of 184-241 (House roll call vote 474). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5538 on July 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

#### **35. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL SPENDING BILL**

House Interior Appropriations Subcommittee Chair Ken Calvert (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 5538, the Interior, Environment and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, a spending bill that ought to be about dollars and cents, and yet it contained more than 30 anti-environmental policy riders. The riders included attacks on the federal government's ability to set commonsense limits on carbon pollution from power plants, protect the drinking water of one in three people in the United States, and create new parks. Besides containing ideological, poison-pill riders that simply have no place in a spending bill, this legislation significantly slashed funding for key environmental programs and agencies, such as the Environmental Protection Agency and the Land and Water Conservation Fund. On July 14, the House approved H.R. 5538 by a vote of 231-196 (House roll call vote 477). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.


#### **36. DELAYING SAFEGUARDS**

Representative Tom Marino (R-PA) sponsored H.R. 3438, the Require Evaluation before Implementing Executive Wishlists (REVIEW) Act of 2016, which would delay all major regulations from taking effect until all litigation is resolved. This radical attack on federal safeguards would allow frivolous litigation to halt the implementation of critical safeguards for clean air, clean water, public health, and more. On September 21, the House approved H.R. 3438 by a vote of 244-180 (House roll call vote 535). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

#### **37. DISASTER FUNDING FOR FLINT, MICHIGAN**

Representative Dan Kildee (D-MI) offered an amendment to H.R. 5303, the Water Resources Development Act of 2016, designed to provide federal financial assistance to the city of Flint, Michigan, which suffered a public health crisis after decisions to switch the city's drinking water supply caused toxic lead to leach from pipes into the water. For over two years, Flint residents have lacked access to clean water and have been waiting for government aid to help deal with the impacts of lead poisoning, particularly for the city's over 8,000 children who may experience serious and permanent health problems such as behavioral and neurological disorders. The Kildee amendment would authorize \$170 million in funding to repair or replace water infrastructure in Flint and would assist the city in recovering from





this crisis. On September 28, the House passed the Kildee amendment by a vote of 284-141-1 (House roll call vote 570). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Kildee amendment providing the city of Flint with \$170 million in funding to address their public health crisis was ultimately included in the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (WIIN), which was signed into law by President Obama on December 16.

### **38. ATTACK ON PUBLIC PROTECTIONS**

Representative Darrell Issa (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 5982, the Midnight Rules Relief Act of 2016, which would threaten public protections affecting the environment, public health, and safety that are often years, if not decades, in the making. This bill would amend the Congressional Review Act (CRA) to allow for an en bloc disapproval of all regulations finalized near the end of presidential terms. In addition to nullifying standards through a rushed and non-transparent process, this extreme legislation would prohibit similar standards from being developed in the future. On November 17, the House passed H.R. 5982 by a vote of 240-179 (House roll call vote 585). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

% 2016

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

① Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases

② Mining Waste

③ Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)

④ Federal Control Over Federal Waters

⑤ Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

⑥ Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management

⑦ Attack on Clean Air Protections

⑧ Pesticides Pollution

⑨ Fossil Energy Bill

⑩ National Ocean Policy

⑪ Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)

⑫ Fracking Clean Air Loophole

⑬ Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections

⑭ Military Resilience to Climate Change

## ALABAMA

1	Byrne	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Roby	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rogers, M.	R	3	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Aderholt	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brooks, M.	R	3	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Palmer	R	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Sewell*	D	82	77	78	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

## ALASKA

AL	Young, Don**	R	3	4	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
----	--------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

## ARIZONA

1	Kirkpatrick	D	76	70	68	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	McSally	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
3	Grijalva	D	95	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
4	Gosar	R	0	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Salmon	R	0	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Schweikert	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Gallego, R.†	D	97	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Franks	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
9	Sinema	D	87	74	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

## ARKANSAS

1	Crawford	R	3	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Hill	R	5	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Womack	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Westerman	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## CALIFORNIA

1	LaMalfa	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Huffman	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Garamendi	D	87	89	89	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

\* Representative Sewell entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 453, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

\*\* Representative Young entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 264, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative Gallego entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 453, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

31



# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016			114th Congress													
			%	%	%	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections
4	McClintock	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Thompson, M.	D	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Matsui*	D	97	97	96	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Bera	D	97	92	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Cook	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	McNerney	D	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Denham	R	3	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	DeSaulnier	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Pelosi	D	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Lee, B.	D	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Speier	D	100	96	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Swalwell**	D	97	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Costa	D	55	49	48	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
17	Honda	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Eshoo†	D	97	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Lofgren	D	100	84	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Farr	D	95	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
21	Valadao	R	5	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Nunes	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	McCarthy	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Capps	D	97	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	Knight	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Brownley	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	Chu	D	97	99	97	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Schiff	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Cárdenas‡	D	84	89	90	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	✓
30	Sherman	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Aguilar	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

\* Representative Matsui entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 45, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

\*\* Representative Swalwell entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 453, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative Eshoo entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted roll on call vote 434, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

‡ Representative Cárdenas missed a number of votes due to a family matter and the California Primary Election.

[illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016			LCV SCORES													
			%	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
32	Napolitano*	D	92	93	91	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33	Lieu	D	89	90	90	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
34	Becerra**	D	89	92	92	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35	Torres	D	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Ruiz	D	100	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Bass	D	95	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
38	Sánchez, Linda	D	95	97	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
39	Royce	R	5	3	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
40	Roybal-Allard	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
41	Takano	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
42	Calvert	R	5	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
43	Waters	D	89	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
44	Hahn	D	95	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
45	Walters	R	5	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗
46	Sánchez, Loretta	D	66	74	86	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
47	Lowenthal	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Rohrabacher	R	0	1	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
49	Issa	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
50	Hunter	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
51	Vargas	D	100	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
52	Peters, S.	D	97	93	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
53	Davis, S.	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COLORADO																			
1	DeGette	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Polis	D	100	96	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Tipton	R	0	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Buck	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Lamborn	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗
6	Coffman	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

\* Representative Napolitano entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 94, 99, and 101, which would have all been scored as pro-environment.

\*\* Representative Becerra entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 94, 99, 101, and 123, which would have all been scored as pro-environment.



[illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016 %	114th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	2 Mining Waste	3 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	4 Federal Control Over Federal Waters	5 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	6 Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	7 Attack on Clean Air Protections	8 Pesticides Pollution	9 Fossil Energy Bill	10 National Ocean Policy	11 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	12 Fracking Clean Air Loophole	13 Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	14 Military Resilience to Climate Change
7	Perlmutter	D	97	90	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>																			
1	Larson, J.	D	100	99	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Courtney	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	DeLauro*	D	97	97	96	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Himes**	D	97	93	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Esty	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>DELAWARE</b>																			
AL	Carney	D	92	93	91	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>FLORIDA</b>																			
1	Miller, J.	R	3	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Graham, G.	D	89	79	79	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Yoho	R	3	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Crenshaw	R	5	3	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brown, C.	D	87	92	87	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
6	DeSantis	R	3	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Mica	R	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Posey	R	5	5	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Grayson	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Webster†	R	0	3	6	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Nugent	R	5	5	5	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Bilirakis	R	5	3	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Jolly	R	21	14	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
14	Castor	D	100	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Ross	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Buchanan	R	29	23	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
17	Rooney	R	3	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Murphy, P.	D	92	89	83	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Clawson	R	16	10	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Hastings‡	D	37	66	82	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

\* Representative DeLauro entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 12, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

\*\*Representative Himes entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 453, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative Webster entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 447, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

‡ Representative Hastings missed a number of votes due to his presence in his congressional district.

37



# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
			%	%	%	Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
21	Deutch	D	100	84	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Frankel	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	Wasserman Schultz	D	100	95	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Wilson, F.	D	95	96	91	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
25	Diaz-Balart	R	8	5	11	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Curbelo	R	53	38	38	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
27	Ros-Lehtinen	R	45	30	34	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓

## GEORGIA

1	Carter, E.L.	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S.	D	47	51	49	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
3	Westmoreland	R	3	3	3	✗	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
4	Johnson, H.	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lewis	D	97	90	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Price, T.	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Woodall	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Scott, A.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Collins, D.	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Hice	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Loudermilk	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Allen	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Scott, D.	D	82	81	81	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
14	Graves, T.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## HAWAII

1	Hanabusa*	D	100	100	89	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①
1	Takai*	D	N/A	90	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
2	Gabbard	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## IDAHO

1	Labrador	R	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Simpson	R	13	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓

## ILLINOIS

1	Rush	D	82	84	79	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
---	------	---	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

\* Representative Hanabusa was sworn in on November 14, 2016, after Representative Takai passed away on July 20, 2016.

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016			LCV SCORES													
			%	%	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
2	Kelly, R.	D	89	89	92	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Lipinski	D	95	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutiérrez*	D	97	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Quigley	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Roskam	R	3	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Davis, D.	D	97	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Duckworth	D	95	92	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Schakowsky**	D	97	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
10	Dold	R	63	51	50	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
11	Foster	D	100	99	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Bost	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Davis, R.	R	3	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Hultgren	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
15	Shimkus	R	3	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Kinzinger	R	8	7	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Bustos	D	95	90	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	LaHood	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
INDIANA																			
1	Visclosky	D	100	97	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walorski	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Stutzman	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Rokita	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brooks, S.	R	5	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Messer	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Carson	D	97	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
8	Bucshon	R	5	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Young, T.	R	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA																			
1	Blum	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
2	Loeb sack	D	97	96	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

\* Representative Gutierrez entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 123, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

\*\* Representative Schakowsky entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 314, which would have been scored as pro-environment.



[illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

KEY			LCV SCORES																		
			% 2016	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	①	②	③	④	⑤	⑥	⑦	⑧	⑨	⑩	⑪	⑫	⑬	⑭		
						Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change		
3	Young, David	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
4	King, S.	R	3	3	4	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
KANSAS																					
1	Huelskamp	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
2	Jenkins, L.	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗		
3	Yoder	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
4	Pompeo	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
KENTUCKY																					
1	Comer*	R	0	0	0	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①		
1	Whitfield*	R	17	11	14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
2	Guthrie	R	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
3	Yarmuth**	D	92	92	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓		
4	Massie	R	3	7	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
5	Rogers, H.	R	5	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
6	Barr	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
LOUISIANA																					
1	Scalise	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
2	Richmond	D	92	86	77	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
3	Boustany	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
4	Fleming	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
5	Abraham	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
6	Graves, G.	R	8	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓		
MAINE																					
1	Pingree	D	97	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓		
2	Poliquin	R	21	15	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗		
MARYLAND																					
1	Harris	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗		
2	Ruppersberger	D	95	88	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
3	Sarbanes	D	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
4	Edwards	D	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

\* Representative Comer was sworn in on November 14, 2016, following the resignation of Representative Whitfield on September 6, 2016.

\*\*Representative Yarmuth entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 250, 253, and 264, which would all have been scored as pro-environment.

[illegible]



# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			%	2016	%	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
								Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
5	Hoyer	D	92	90	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Delaney	D	100	95	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Cummings	D	100	99	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Van Hollen	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MASSACHUSETTS

1	Neal	D	97	97	92	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	McGovern	D	97	99	99	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Tsongas	D	100	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Kennedy	D	92	96	96	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Clark, K.	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Moulton	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Capuano	D	100	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Lynch	D	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Keating	D	97	95	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MICHIGAN

1	Benishek	R	5	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
2	Huizenga	R	3	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Amash	R	8	14	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Moolenaar	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Kildee	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Upton	R	13	8	26	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Walberg	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Bishop, M.	R	5	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Levin	D	100	99	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Miller, C.	R	8	4	11	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Trott	R	5	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Dingell	D	97	97	97	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Conyers*	D	97	96	81	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Lawrence	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## MINNESOTA

1	Walz	D	82	79	81	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
---	------	---	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

\* Representative Conyers entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 45, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
			%	%	%	Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
2	Kline	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Paulsen	R	18	14	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McCollum	D	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ellison*	D	87	93	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Emmer	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Peterson	D	18	16	33	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
8	Nolan	D	89	85	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>																			
1	Kelly, T.	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Thompson, B.	D	97	92	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	Harper	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Palazzo	R	0	0	3	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>MISSOURI</b>																			
1	Clay	D	100	93	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Wagner	R	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Luetkemeyer	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Hartzler	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cleaver**	D	95	93	87	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Graves, S.	R	0	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Long	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Smith, J.	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>MONTANA</b>																			
AL	Zinke	R	5	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
<b>NEBRASKA</b>																			
1	Fortenberry	R	16	12	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
2	Ashford	D	55	44	44	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
3	Smith, Adrian	R	0	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>NEVADA</b>																			
1	Titus	D	95	95	95	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Amodei	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Ellison missed roll call votes 468, 471, 474, and 477 due to an emergency constituent issue in his district.

\*\* Representative Cleaver entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 12, which would have been scored as pro-environment.



[illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			2016 %	114th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	2 Mining Waste	3 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	4 Federal Control Over Federal Waters	5 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	6 Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	7 Attack on Clean Air Protections	8 Pesticides Pollution	9 Fossil Energy Bill	10 National Ocean Policy	11 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	12 Fracking Clean Air Loophole	13 Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	14 Military Resilience to Climate Change
3	Heck, J.	R	5	5	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
4	Hardy	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

1	Guinta	R	13	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
2	Kuster	D	95	95	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NEW JERSEY

1	Norcross	D	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	R	50	37	57	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	MacArthur	R	13	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
4	Smith, C.	R	45	34	62	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
5	Garrett	R	3	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pallone	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Lance	R	13	12	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Sires	D	92	93	90	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
9	Pascrell	D	97	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	D	95	86	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	R	8	5	33	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
12	Watson Coleman	D	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NEW MEXICO

1	Lujan Grisham, M.	D	100	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Pearce	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Luján, B.	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## NEW YORK

1	Zeldin	R	8	11	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
2	King, P.	R	13	11	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
3	Israel	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Rice, K.	D	89	93	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓
5	Meeks	D	92	90	88	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Meng	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Velázquez	D	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Jeffries	D	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓

✗	✗	15	Methane Pollution from Drilling on Public Lands
✗	✗	16	Protecting Our Forests
✗	✗	17	Lead Poisoning Safeguards
✗	✗	18	Carbon Pollution
✗	✗	19	Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change
✗	✗	20	Protecting Farmworkers from Dangerous Pesticides
✗	✗	21	Methane Pollution Safeguards
✗	✗	22	California Water Resources
✗	✗	23	National Monuments
✗	✗	24	Offshore Drilling in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico
✗	✗	25	Attack on the Endangered Species Act
✗	✗	26	Gray Wolves
✗	✓	27	EPA Law Enforcement
✗	✗	28	Attack on Environmental Court Access
✗	✗	29	Arctic Ocean Drilling
✗	✗	30	Marine National Monuments
✗	✗	31	Endangered Species Act Riders
✗	✗	32	Blocking Protections for Clean Water
✗	✗	33	Clean Energy & Public Lands
✗	✗	34	Sage Grouse Conservation
✗	✗	35	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill
✗	✗	36	Delaying Safeguards
✓	✓	37	Disaster Funding for Flint, Michigan
✗	✗	38	Attack on Public Protections

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			%	2016	%	114th Congress	%	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
									Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
9	Clarke, Y.	D	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Nadler	D	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
11	Donovan	R	21	16	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
12	Maloney, C.	D	97	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
13	Rangel	D	100	96	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Crowley*	D	95	92	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Serrano	D	97	99	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Engel	D	97	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Lowey	D	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Maloney, S.	D	97	92	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Gibson	R	47	47	41	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
20	Tonko	D	97	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Stefanik	R	29	19	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
22	Hanna	R	37	32	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	?
23	Reed, T.	R	8	7	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Katko	R	26	21	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
25	Slaughter	D	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Higgins, B.	D	100	99	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	Collins, C.	R	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## NORTH CAROLINA

1	Butterfield	D	89	90	89	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Ellmers	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗
3	Jones	R	21	19	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
4	Price, D.	D	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Foxx	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Walker	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Rouzer	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Hudson	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Pittenger	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	McHenry	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Meadows	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Crowley entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 433, which would have been scored as pro-environment.



[illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			%	2016	%	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
								Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
12	Adams	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Holding	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>																					
AL	Cramer	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>OHIO</b>																					
1	Chabot	R	0	0	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Wenstrup	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Beatty	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Jordan	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Latta	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Johnson, B.	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Gibbs, B.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Davidson*	R	0	0	0	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	✗
9	Kaptur	D	100	95	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Turner	R	11	7	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Fudge	D	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Tiberi	R	0	1	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Ryan, T.	D	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Joyce	R	5	5	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Stivers	R	3	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Renacci	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>																					
1	Bridenstine	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Mullin	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lucas	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Cole	R	5	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Russell	R	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>OREGON</b>																					
1	Bonamici	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walden	R	5	4	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Davidson was sworn in on June 7, 2016.

❌	✅ 15	Methane Pollution from Drilling on Public Lands
❌	✅ 16	Protecting Our Forests
❌	✅ 17	Lead Poisoning Safeguards
❌	✅ 18	Carbon Pollution
❌	✅ 19	Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change
❌	✅ 20	Protecting Farmworkers from Dangerous Pesticides
❌	✅ 21	Methane Pollution Safeguards
❌	✅ 22	California Water Resources
❌	✅ 23	National Monuments
❌	✅ 24	Offshore Drilling in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico
❌	✅ 25	Attack on the Endangered Species Act
❌	✅ 26	Gray Wolves
❌	✅ 27	EPA Law Enforcement
❌	✅ 28	Attack on Environmental Court Access
❌	✅ 29	Arctic Ocean Drilling
❌	✅ 30	Marine National Monuments
❌	✅ 31	Endangered Species Act Riders
❌	✅ 32	Blocking Protections for Clean Water
❌	✅ 33	Clean Energy & Public Lands
❌	✅ 34	Sage Grouse Conservation
❌	✅ 35	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill
❌	✅ 36	Delaying Safeguards
❌	✅ 37	Disaster Funding for Flint, Michigan
❌	✅ 38	Attack on Public Protections

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			%	2016	%	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
								Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
3	Blumenauer*	D	97	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	DeFazio	D	95	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Schrader	D	76	67	71	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

## PENNSYLVANIA

1	Brady, R.	D	97	93	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Evans**	D	100	100	100	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①
2	Fattah**	D	29	78	87	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
3	Kelly	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Perry	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Thompson, G.	R	3	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Costello	R	39	27	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
7	Meehan	R	29	22	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
8	Fitzpatrick	R	47	36	41	✗	✓	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
9	Shuster	R	3	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Marino	R	5	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Barletta	R	3	3	5	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Rothfus	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Boyle	D	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Doyle	D	100	92	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Dent	R	18	12	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
16	Pitts	R	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Cartwright	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Murphy, T.	R	3	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## RHODE ISLAND

1	Cicilline	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Langevin	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## SOUTH CAROLINA

1	Sanford	R	21	14	25	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
2	Wilson, J.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Duncan, Jeff	R	0	1	4	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Blumenauer entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 585, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

\*\* Representative Evans was sworn in on November 14, 2016, following the resignation of Representative Fattah on June 23, 2016.



✓	✓	15	Methane Pollution from Drilling on Public Lands
✗	✗	16	Protecting Our Forests
✗	✓	17	Lead Poisoning Safeguards
✓	✓	18	Carbon Pollution
✓	✓	19	Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change
✓	✓	20	Protecting Farmworkers from Dangerous Pesticides
✓	✓	21	Methane Pollution Safeguards
✓	✓	22	California Water Resources
✗	✓	23	National Monuments
✓	✓	24	Offshore Drilling in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico
✓	✓	25	Attack on the Endangered Species Act
✓	✓	26	Gray Wolves
✓	✓	27	EPA Law Enforcement
✓	✓	28	Attack on Environmental Court Access
✓	✓	29	Arctic Ocean Drilling
✓	✓	30	Marine National Monuments
✓	✓	31	Endangered Species Act Riders
✓	✓	32	Blocking Protections for Clean Water
✓	✓	33	Clean Energy & Public Lands
✗	?	34	Sage Grouse Conservation
✓	✓	35	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill
✓	✓	36	Delaying Safeguards
✓	✓	37	Disaster Funding for Flint, Michigan
✓	?	38	Attack on Public Protections

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			%	2016	%	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
								Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
4	Gowdy	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Mulvaney	R	0	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Clyburn	D	92	88	84	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Rice, T.	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>																					
AL	Noem	R	0	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>TENNESSEE</b>																					
1	Roe	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Duncan, John	R	0	3	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Fleischmann	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	DesJarlais	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cooper	D	82	81	80	✓	✓	✗	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Black	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗
7	Blackburn	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Fincher	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
9	Cohen	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>TEXAS</b>																					
1	Gohmert	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Poe*	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Johnson, S.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ratcliffe	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Hensarling	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Barton	R	0	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Culberson	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Green, A.	D	95	90	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	McCaul	R	3	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Conaway	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Granger	R	3	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Thornberry	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Poe missed a number of votes due to health reasons.

X	✓	X	X	✗	15	Methane Pollution from Drilling on Public Lands
X	✓	X	X	✗	16	Protecting Our Forests
X	✓	X	X	✗	17	Lead Poisoning Safeguards
X	✓	X	X	✗	18	Carbon Pollution
X	✓	X	X	✗	19	Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change
X	✓	X	X	✗	20	Protecting Farmworkers from Dangerous Pesticides
X	✓	X	X	✗	21	Methane Pollution Safeguards
X	✓	X	X	✗	22	California Water Resources
X	✓	X	X	✗	23	National Monuments
X	✓	X	X	✗	24	Offshore Drilling in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico
X	✓	X	X	✗	25	Attack on the Endangered Species Act
X	✓	X	X	✗	26	Gray Wolves
X	✓	X	X	✗	27	EPA Law Enforcement
X	✓	X	X	✗	28	Attack on Environmental Court Access
X	✓	X	X	✗	29	Arctic Ocean Drilling
X	✓	X	X	✗	30	Marine National Monuments
X	✓	X	X	✗	31	Endangered Species Act Riders
X	✓	X	X	✗	32	Blocking Protections for Clean Water
X	✓	X	X	✗	33	Clean Energy & Public Lands
X	✓	X	X	✗	34	Sage Grouse Conservation
X	✓	X	X	✗	35	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill
X	✓	X	X	✗	36	Delaying Safeguards
X	✓	X	X	✗	37	Disaster Funding for Flint, Michigan
X	✓	X	X	✗	38	Attack on Public Protections

✕ ✕

[illegible][illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

			%	%	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
			2016	114th Congress	Lifetime	Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	Mining Waste	Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)	Federal Control Over Federal Waters	Arctic National Wildlife Refuge	Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management	Attack on Clean Air Protections	Pesticides Pollution	Fossil Energy Bill	National Ocean Policy	Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)	Fracking Clean Air Loophole	Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections	Military Resilience to Climate Change
14	Weber	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Hinojosa*	D	92	77	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	O'Rourke**	D	89	92	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓
17	Flores	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Jackson Lee	D	92	89	79	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Neugebauer	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Castro†	D	92	95	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓
21	Smith, L.	R	0	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Olson	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Hurd	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Marchant	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Williams	R	0	0	1	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Burgess	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Farenthold	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
28	Cuellar	D	32	26	42	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
29	Green, G.	D	82	73	65	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
30	Johnson, E.‡	D	95	92	84	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Carter, J.	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Sessions, P.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
33	Veasey	D	84	85	87	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
34	Vela	D	82	81	71	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
35	Doggett	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Babin	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
<b>UTAH</b>																			
1	Bishop, R.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Stewart	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Chaffetz	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Love	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Hinojosa entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 101 and 199, which would have both been scored as pro-environment.

\*\* Representative O'Rourke entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 250, 253, and 264, which would have all been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative Castro entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 250, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

‡ Representative Johnson entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 12, which would have been scored as pro-environment.



[illegible][illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

2016  
%

114th Congress  
%

Lifetime  
%

1 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases

2 Mining Waste

3 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)

4 Federal Control Over Federal Waters

5 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

6 Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management

7 Attack on Clean Air Protections

8 Pesticides Pollution

9 Fossil Energy Bill

10 National Ocean Policy

11 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)

12 Fracking Clean Air Loophole

13 Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections

14 Military Resilience to Climate Change

## VERMONT

AL	Welch	D	97	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
----	-------	---	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

## VIRGINIA

1	Wittman	R	0	1	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Rigell	R	5	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Scott, R.	D	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Forbes	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
5	Hurt	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Goodlatte	R	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Brat	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
8	Beyer	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Griffith	R	0	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Comstock	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Connolly	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## WASHINGTON

1	DelBene	D	97	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Larsen, R.	D	100	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Herrera Beutler	R	8	7	9	✗	✓	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
4	Newhouse	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	McMorris Rodgers	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Kilmer	D	97	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	McDermott	D	92	96	92	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Reichert	R	34	21	37	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
9	Smith, Adam*	D	82	85	89	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Heck, D.	D	97	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## WEST VIRGINIA

1	McKinley	R	0	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Mooney	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Jenkins, E.	R	3	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

\* Representative Smith entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 12, 42, 45, 94, 99, and 101, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

[illegible]

# HOUSE VOTES

## KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

## LCV SCORES

2016

114th Congress

Lifetime

1 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases

2 Mining Waste

3 Extreme Attack on Clean Water Protections (CRA)

4 Federal Control Over Federal Waters

5 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

6 Undermining Public Lands and Wildlife Management

7 Attack on Clean Air Protections

8 Pesticides Pollution

9 Fossil Energy Bill

10 National Ocean Policy

11 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)

12 Fracking Clean Air Loophole

13 Attack on the Clean Air Act and Smog Protections

14 Military Resilience to Climate Change

## WISCONSIN

			2016	114th Congress	Lifetime	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.													
1	Ryan, P.	R	N/A	0	11														
2	Pocan	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind*	D	87	86	89	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Moore**	D	97	95	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	R	0	3	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Grothman	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Duffy	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	✗
8	Ribble	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

## WYOMING

AL	Lummis	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
----	--------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

\* Representative Kind entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 12 and 42, which would have both been scored as pro-environment.

\*\*Representative Moore entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 535, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

15	Methane Pollution from Drilling on Public Lands
16	Protecting Our Forests
17	Lead Poisoning Safeguards
18	Carbon Pollution
19	Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change
20	Protecting Farmworkers from Dangerous Pesticides
21	Methane Pollution Safeguards
22	California Water Resources
23	National Monuments
24	Offshore Drilling in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico
25	Attack on the Endangered Species Act
26	Gray Wolves
27	EPA Law Enforcement
28	Attack on Environmental Court Access
29	Arctic Ocean Drilling
30	Marine National Monuments
31	Endangered Species Act Riders
32	Blocking Protections for Clean Water
33	Clean Energy & Public Lands
34	Sage Grouse Conservation
35	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill
36	Delaying Safeguards
37	Disaster Funding for Flint, Michigan
38	Attack on Public Protections

THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.																							
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗





## ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY


Please visit **scorecard.lcv.org** to view the *National Environmental Scorecard* electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the statehouse to the White House.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit **www.lcv.org/donate**.


Sign up for LCV's email updates at **www.lcv.org** and join LCV's mobile action network by texting "LCV" to 877-877.

Take action on a wide array of pressing environmental issues at **www.lcv.org/act**.

*Thank you for being the voice for the environment.*



**OVER 40 YEARS OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES —**  
all at the push of a button. You can now easily see how every member of Congress voted since the launch of LCV's first *Scorecard* in 1971 as part of our new interactive *National Environmental Scorecard* at **[scorecard.lcv.org](http://scorecard.lcv.org)**.



## LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

### [scorecard.lcv.org](http://scorecard.lcv.org)

1920 L STREET, NW, SUITE 800 • WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
PHONE: 202.785.8683 • [WWW.LCV.ORG](http://WWW.LCV.ORG)

This publication was designed and printed using 100% wind power and was printed on an alcohol-free press with soy-based inks on 100% recycled stock.



[www.instagram.com/LCVoters](http://www.instagram.com/LCVoters)



[www.facebook.com/LCVoters](http://www.facebook.com/LCVoters)



[www.twitter.com/LCVoters](http://www.twitter.com/LCVoters)



[www.youtube.com/lcv2008](http://www.youtube.com/lcv2008)

